arXiv:1102.1516v11 [math.AT] 10 Jul 2012

THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF A POINCARÉ DUALITY COMPLEX AFTER LOOPING

PIOTR BEBEN AND JIE WU

Abstract. We give an answer to a weaker version of the classification problem for the homotopy types of $(n-2)$-connected closed orientable $(2n-1)$-manifolds. Let $n \geq 6$ be an even integer, and X be a $(n-2)$-connected finite orientable Poincaré $(2n-1)$-complex such that $H^{n-1}(X;\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ and $H^{n-1}(X;\mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$. Then its loop space homotopy type is uniquely determined by the action of higher Bockstein operations on $H^{n-1}(X;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for each odd prime p. A stronger result is obtained when localized at odd primes.

1. Introduction

A connected space X is said to satisfy Poincaré duality with respect to a coefficient ring R if the cap product

$$e \cap H^i(X;R) \longrightarrow H_{n-i}(X;R)$$

is an isomorphism for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, and some fixed nonzero class $e \in H_n(X;R)$. These isomorphisms lead to additional restraints on the cohomology ring. For if we fix R to be a field, then for each $i > n$ we have

$$H^i(X;R) = 0,$$

and

$$H^{n-i}(X;R) \cong H^i(X;R),$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n$. In particular, $H^n(X;R) \cong H^0(X;R) \cong R$, and the cup product pairing

$$H^i(X;R) \otimes H^{n-i}(X;R) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^n(X;R) \cong R$$

is nonsingular for each i, meaning the maps

$$H^{n-i}(X;R) \longrightarrow Hom(H^i(X;R),R)$$

$$H^i(X;R) \longrightarrow Hom(H^{n-i}(X;R),R)$$

induced by the above pairing are isomorphisms. Consequently, every nonzero element $x \in H^{n-i}(X;R)$ corresponds to a nonzeo element $y \in H^i(X;R)$ such that the cup product xy is nonzero in $H^n(X;R) \cong R$.

A CW-complex P is said to be an *orientable Poincaré complex* if it satisfies Poincaré duality with respect to all choices of coefficient ring R (see [10] for the *non-orientable* definition). We say P is *finite* if it is finite as a CW-complex. The

---

AMS 2010 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 55P35; 55P15; 57N65.

Keywords: Poincaré duality complex, loop space, homotopy classification of manifolds.

dimension of P is the highest degree n in which there is a nonzero element in its $\mathbb{Z}$-cohomology, in which case we say P is a Poincaré n-complex.

The preceding definitions become meaningful through the fact that any closed orientable n-manifold has the homotopy type of a finite Poincaré n-complex. The classification of homotopy types of manifolds is therefore more fittingly phrased in terms of classification of Poincaré complexes. The usual procedure is to first discard the local properties of manifolds, and to use homotopy theoretic techniques to classify homotopy types of some chosen category of Poincaré complexes. Local properties come into the picture again when lifting the classification to the relevant category of manifolds.

Most work to date has involved the classification of low dimensional manifolds (see [10] for a more complete survey). That 1-connected Poincaré 2-complexes and Poincaré 3-complexes have the homotopy type of a 2-sphere and 3-sphere respectively is an easy consequence of Poincaré duality and the Hurewicz homomorphism. Milnor [12] showed that the $\mathbb{Z}$-cohomology ring classifies 1-connected Poincaré 4-complexes, while Stöcker [15] gave a list of four algebraic invariants that classify the homotopy types of 1-connected orientable Poincaré 5-complexes.

Little is known beyond these dimensions. In the highly connected case, $(n-1)$-connected Poincaré $2n$-complexes have been classified by Whitehead and Wall [17], while Sasao and Takahashi [14] gave a partial solution for $(n-1)$-connected Poincaré $(2n+2)$-complexes.

The next natural step in the hierarchy of difficulty is the classification of $(n-1)$-connected Poincaré $(2n+1)$-complexes. Though this is still generally an open problem, we will apply two homotopy theoretic simplifications that allow us to solve it. First, we consider the classification problem after our spaces have been localized at some prime p. The motivation here is that localized spaces are much simpler from the perspective of homotopy theory, yet they retain a good chunk of the homotopy theoretic information from the original space. If one succeeds working at individual primes, it is sometimes possible to *lift* the results to the category of integral spaces (see [16] for a better introduction to this philosophy, or [13] for some of the basic properties).

Second, we consider the classification problem after looping our spaces. Here one can often use the associative H-space structure on loop spaces to decompose them up to homotopy as a weak product of *simpler* spaces. Spaces that are not homotopy equivalent sometimes have the same loop space homotopy decompositions, so it is reasonable to expect that a loop space homotopy classification will be simpler. Recalling the *adjoint* isomorphism $[\Sigma X, Y] \xrightarrow{\cong} [X, \Omega Y]$ of homotopy class groups, one can appreciate that a loop space homotopy classification is for many practical purposes just as good as a homotopy classification of the original spaces.

Let the homology Bockstein operation

$$\beta_r \colon H_*(X;\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow H_{*-1}(X;\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

be the composite $H_*(X;\mathbb{Z}_p) \xrightarrow{\delta_r} H_{*-1}(X;Z_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}}) \xrightarrow{\rho_r} H_{*-1}(X;\mathbb{Z}_p)$, where ρ_r is the connecting map in the homology long exact sequence associated with the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p r \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r+1}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0,$$

and ρ_r is induced by the reduction map $\mathbb{Z}_p r \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then β_r where $1 \le t \le r$ *detects* $\mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$ summands in the integral homology of X. Taking duals one obtains the cohomology Bocksteins

$$\beta_r\colon H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}_p)\longrightarrow H^{*+1}(X;\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

with similar properties.

Our main result is that under a few assumptions, loop space homotopy type s are uniquely determined by rational cohomology and the action of the Bocksteins operations β_r.

Theorem 1.1. *Fix $m>2$, and let M and M' be $(2m-2)$-connected closed orientable $(4m-1)$-manifolds (or more generally finite orientable Poincaré complexes). Consider the following conditions:*

1. $\beta_i(H^{2m-1}(M;\mathbb{Z}_p))\cong\beta_i(H^{2m-1}(M';\mathbb{Z}_p))$ *for each $i>0$ and some prime p;*
2. $H^{2m-1}(M;\mathbb{Q})\cong H^{2m-1}(M';\mathbb{Q})$*;*
3. $H^{2m-1}(M;\mathbb{Z}_2))=H^{2m-1}(M';\mathbb{Z}_2))=0$*;*
4. $H^{2m-1}(M;\mathbb{Q})=H^{2m-1}(M';\mathbb{Q})=0$.

   (i) *There is a homotopy equivalence localized at an odd prime p*

$$\Omega M_{(p)}\simeq\Omega M'_{(p)}$$

   if and only if conditions (1) and (2) hold.

   (ii) *If conditions (3) and (4) hold, then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\Omega M\simeq\Omega M'$$

   if and only if condition (1) holds for all odd primes p.

□

Remark 1.2. *There seems to be no reason that condition (4) is necessary in part (ii), but our chosen path to proof is streamlined by keeping this condition.*

Remark 1.3. *Theorem 1.1 seems to suggest that the loop space homotopy type is independent of cup product structure on the cohomology of these manifolds. This is not a total independence, for one can easily construct CW-complexes with cohomology groups that are isomorphic to these manifolds, but whose loop space homotopy type is distinct. Such CW-complexes will invariably have a cohomology ring that does not satisfy Poincaré duality.*

The layout of the paper is as follows. We will first prove the p-local classification of these manifolds under the guise of *mod-p Poincaré complexes.* The lead up to this is Section 3, where the mod-p loop space homology of much more general classes of mod-p Poincaré duality complexes are computed. The results therein are used in Section 4 to derive additional structure on the mod-p cohomology rings of the manifolds in question, which in turn is necessary for constructing a certain map (Section 6, equation (15)) whose looping has a right homotopy inverse. This allows us to *compute* the homotopy type by decomposing the loop space in question, and thereby arrive at a p-local classification. The p-local results are finally consolidated in Section 7, and the integral classification is obtained.

2. MOD-p POINCARÉ COMPLEXES

If X is a 1-connected finite type CW-complex and p a prime number, recall that the *p-localization map* $X\xrightarrow{\ell}X_{(p)}$ induces a ring isomorphism $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}_p)\xrightarrow{\cong}H^*(X_{(p)};\mathbb{Z}_p)$, and $X_{(p)}$ has a *p-local CW-structure* (i.e. consisting of *p-local cells*, whose attaching maps are maps of p-localized spheres into p-local subcomplexes) with p-local cells in one-to-one correspondance with generators of the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $H^*(X_{(p)};\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Because an *orientable Poincaré complex* P satisfies Poincaré duality on mod-p homology for any prime p, then so does its p-localization $P_{(p)}$.

It will be convenient to make use the more general concept of a *mod-p Poincaré complex*, as opposed to working with the p-localization $P_{(p)}$ of a finite orientable Poincaré complex P. Here we define a *mod-p Poincaré complex* Q to be a finite p-local CW-complex satisfying Poincaré Duality on its mod-p cohomology. The *dimension* n is the highest degree in which there is a nonzero element in its mod-p cohomology, and one says Q is *finite* if it has a finite number of p-local cells. The $(n-1)$-*skeleton* of Q in the p-local sense will be denoted by $\bar{Q}$. Because the mod-p cohomology generators of a p-local space are in one-to-one correspondance with its p-local cells, one can see that Q is the cofibre of some map $S^{n-1}_{(p)} \longrightarrow \bar{Q}$.

Fix $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$. We let $\mathcal{T}_{k,n}$ denote the set of classes of homotopy types of mod-p Poincaré $(2n-1)$-complexes subject to the following conditions: the class $[W]$ is in $\mathcal{T}^p_{k,n}$ if and only if

1. W is $(n-2)$-connected;
2. $H^{n-1}(W;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ has rank k.

Fix some class $[W] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{k,n}$. By mod-$p$ Poincaré duality and the first condition above, the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule $H^*(\bar{W};\mathbb{Z}_p)$ of $H^*(W;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is described by an isomorphism

$$H^*(\bar{W};\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p\left\{x_i^*, y_i^* | 1 \leq i \leq k\right\}, \tag{1}$$

where $|x_i^*| = n-1$, $|y_i^*| = n$. We can and will choose the basis for $H^*(\bar{W};\mathbb{Z}_p)$ to satisfy the following the conditions: there is an integer k_1, with $0 \leq k_1 \leq k$, such that whenever $1 \leq i \leq k_1$, the action of the Bockstein operations on $H^*(\bar{W};\mathbb{Z}_p)$ satisfy

$$\beta_{r_i}(x_i^*) = y_i^*$$

for some choice of integer $r_i > 0$ (depending on i), and whenever $k_1 < i \leq k$ we have

$$\beta_r(x_i^*) = 0$$

for each $r > 0$.

Next, recall that for any group G, a Moore space $M(G,m)$ is unique up to homotopy type. Thus $M(G_1 \oplus G_2, m) \simeq M(G_1,m) \vee M(G_2,m)$. With these things given, there exists a splitting of $\bar{W}$ as a wedge sum of p-localized Moore spaces $P^n(p^{r_i})$ for $i \leq k_1$ corresponding to the action of β_{r_i} on x_i^*, and p-localized spheres S^{n-1} and S^n corresponding to the generators x_i^* and y_j^* for $k_1 < i \leq k$. In other words

$$\bar{W} \simeq_{(p)} \bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq k_1} P^n(p^{r_i}) \vee \bigvee_{k_1 < i \leq k} (S^{n-1} \vee S^n). \tag{2}$$

Fixing some generator $z^* \in H^{2n-1}(W;\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, the cup product structure on $H^*(W;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is described by a $k \times k$ $\mathbb{Z}_p$-matrix representation

$$A_{z^*} = (a_{ij})$$

where $y_j^* x_i^* = a_{ij} z^*$. Set $k_2 = k - k_1$. We partition A_{z^*} into the block form

$$A_{z^*} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{z^*} & D_{z^*} \\ C_{z^*} & E_{z^*} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

where B_{z^*}, C_{z^*}, D_{z^*}, E_{z^*} are respectively matrices of dimensions $k_1 \times k_1$, $k_2 \times k_1$, $k_1 \times k_2$, $k_2 \times k_2$. Since Poincaré Duality implies the cup product pairing as described above is nonsingular, the matrix A_{z^*} is nonsingular.

Proposition 2.1. *Given a class* $[W] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{k,n}$ *and a generator* $z^* \in H^{2n-1}(W;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ *where* $n \geq 3$*, the nonsingular* A_{z^*} *is such that* C_{z^*} *is the zero* $k_1 \times k_2$ *matrix, and* B_{z^*} *is symmetric when* n *is even, and skew symmetric when* n *is odd.*

Since a $k \times k$ skew symmetric matrix is nonsingular if and only if k even, the following is immediate:

Corollary 2.2. *There exist no classes* $[W] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{2l+1,2m+1}$ *such that* $H^{2m-1}(W;\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ *or* $H^{2m}(W;\mathbb{Q}) = 0$*.*

We will therefore focus on those classes $[W] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{k,n}$ for n even. The above statements will proved in the next two sections. We see that the homotopy type of ΩW is completely classified by rational cohomology and the action of the Bocksteins operations.

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $[W], [W'] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{k,2m}$ *and* $m > 2$*. Then* $\Omega W \simeq \Omega W'$ *if and only if the following are satisfied:*

1. $H^{2m-1}(W;\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^{2m-1}(W';\mathbb{Q})$*;*
2. $\beta_i(H^{2m-1}(W;\mathbb{Z}_p)) \cong \beta_i(H^{2m-1}(W';\mathbb{Z}_p))$ *for each* $i > 0$*.*

□

We will suppress the subscript (p) notation until the last section of this paper, and assume that all our spaces are p-local, or localized at p where appropriate, for some fixed odd prime p. Any reference to a CW-structure on a p-local space is always taken to be in the p-local sense.

3. Mod-p Loop Space Homology

Keep p fixed as an odd prime number. Let $\bar{P}$ be a finite type CW-complex, P be the cofibre of some map

$$\alpha : S^{n-1} \longrightarrow \bar{P}$$

for some fixed integer $n > 3$, and

$$i : \bar{P} \longrightarrow P$$

denote the inclusion. Let

$$\alpha' : S^{n-2} \longrightarrow \Omega\bar{P}$$

be the adjoint of α. Since $i \circ \alpha'$ is null homotopic, the algebra map

$$(\Omega i)_* : H_*(\Omega\bar{P};R) \longrightarrow H_*(\Omega P;R)$$

factors through a map

$$\theta : H_*(\Omega\bar{P};R)/I \longrightarrow H_*(\Omega P;R), \tag{4}$$

for any ring R, where I is the two-sided ideal generated by the image of of α'_* in degree $n-2$.

The conditions under which θ is a Hopf Algebra isomorphism is called the *cell attachment problem.* This has been studied by Anick [1], Bubenik [3], Félix and

Thomas [5], and Halperin, Hess, and Lemaire [11, 7, 8, 9]. Lemaire [11] found that θ is a Hopf algebra isomorphism whenever the morphism of graded R-vector spaces

$$Tor^{\pi}_p\colon Tor^{H_*(\Omega\bar{P};R)}_p(R,R) \longrightarrow Tor^{H_*(\Omega\bar{P};R)/I}_p(R,R)$$

induced by the canonical surjection $H_*(\Omega X;R) \xrightarrow{\pi} H_*(\Omega X;R)/I$ is bijective, and R is a vector space of characteristic p.

Our goal in this section will be to fix $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$, and under a few assumptions on $\bar{P}$, to find conditions on the cohomology ring $H_*(\Omega P;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ making θ is a Hopf algebra isomorphism. Many highly connected mod-p Poincaré complexes are covered under these conditions, including the ones dealt with in this paper. We compute the ideal I in Proposition 3.2, and in Theorem 3.5 use a Leray-Serre spectral sequence approach to arrive at the Hopf algebra isomorphism θ.

Let us fix any integer $m > 1$ such that $n \geq m$. Assume our CW-complex P is $(m-1)$-connected n-dimensional, with mod-p reduced homology generated by $a_1,\dots,a_\ell$ and z, where

$$m = |a_1| \leq |a_2| \leq \cdots \leq |a_\ell| < |z| = n.$$

Whenever $|a_i| + |a_j| = n$, we let the integer c_{ij} be such that $a^*_j a^*_i = c_{ij} z^*$, where a^*_i, a^*_j, z^* are the cohomology duals of a_i, a_j and z. In addition, we make the following assumptions:

1. $\dim\bar{P} < \dim P$, meaning $\bar{P}$ is the $(n-1)$-skeleton of P;
2. $3(m-1) > n-2$ and n is odd;
3. $\bar{P} \simeq \Sigma^2 X$ for some X.

As $\bar{P}$ is homotopy equialent to a suspension, cup products are trivial on $\bar{H}^*(\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p) \subseteq \bar{H}^*(P;\mathbb{Z}_p)$, implying the c_{ij}'s describe the cup-product structure for $\bar{H}^*(P;\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Consider the mod-p homology Serre spectral sequences $\bar{E}$ and E for the path fibrations of $\bar{P}$ and P, and the morphism of spectral sequences

$$\gamma\colon \bar{E} \longrightarrow E$$

induced by the inclusion $\bar{P} \xrightarrow{i} P$. Here we have

$$\bar{E}^2_{*,*} = H_*(\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes H_*(\Omega\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

$$E^2_{*,*} = H_*(P;\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes H_*(\Omega P;\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

The corresponding mod-p cohomology spectral sequences are labelled by switching subscripts with superscripts as is standard.

Since $\bar{P}$ is homotopy equivalent to a suspension $\Sigma^2 X$, the basis elements a_i of $H_*(\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $H_*(P;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ are transgressive. Thus, let

$$u_i = \tau(a_i) \in H_*(\Omega P;\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

$$\bar{u}_i = \tau(a_i) \in H_*(\Omega\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

be the transgressions of the a_i's. Note that there is a Hopf algebra isomorphism

$$H_*(\Omega\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong T(\bar{H}_*(\Sigma X;\mathbb{Z}_p)) \cong T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell).$$

Since ΣX is a suspension, cup products on $\bar{H}_*(\Sigma X;\mathbb{Z}_p))$ are trivial, implying the algebra generators $\bar{u}_i$ are primitive. The algebra map $(\Omega i)_*$ satisfies $(\Omega i)_*(\bar{u}_\ell) = u_\ell$. Then on the second page of spectral sequences,

$$\gamma^2(1 \otimes \bar{u}_i) = 1 \otimes u_i$$

$$\gamma^2(a_i \otimes 1) = a_i \otimes 1.$$

Fix m' to be the smallest integer such that there is a c_{ij} prime to p for some i and j satisfying $i \leq j$ and $|a_i| = m'$. If no such integer exists, set $m' = |z| = n$. We now state some properties of the spectral sequences $\bar{E}$ and E.

Proposition 3.1. *The following hold:*

(i) *The kernel of the map*

$$(\Omega i)_*\colon H_{n-2}(\Omega\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow H_{n-2}(\Omega P;\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is generated by $\alpha'_*(\iota_{n-2})$, *where* $\iota_{n-2} \in H_*(S^{n-2};\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ *is a generator.*

When $m' = n$, *we have* $\alpha'_*(\iota_{n-2}) = 0$.

(ii) $\bar{d}^r(\bar{E}^r_{i,j}) = \{0\}$ *for* $2 \leq r < i$, *and* $d^r(E^r_{i,j}) = \{0\}$ *for* $2 \leq r < i$ *and* $i \neq n$.

(iii) $d^r(z\otimes 1) = 0$ *for* $r < m'$, *so* $z\otimes 1$ *survives to* $E^{m'}_{n,0}$. *The differential*

$$d^{m'}\colon E^{m'}_{n,0} \longrightarrow E^{m'}_{n-m',m'-1}$$

satisfies

$$d^{m'}(z\otimes 1) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m'} \displaystyle\sum_{|a_i|=m',|a_j|=n-m'} c_{ij}(a_j\otimes u_i), & \text{if } m' < n; \\ 1\otimes\tau(z) \neq 0, & \text{if } m' = n. \end{cases}$$

(iv) *The map*

$$\gamma^r\colon \bar{E}^r_{i,j} \longrightarrow E^r_{i,j}$$

is an isomorphism for $2 \leq r \leq i$, $j < n-2$, $i \neq n-m'$, *and* $i \neq n$. *It is also an isomorphism for* $2 \leq r \leq i$, $i = n-m'$ *and* $j < m'-1$. *When* $i = 0$, *it is an isomorphism for* $j < n-2$ *and all* $r \geq 2$.

(v) *When* $m' < n$, *the map*

$$\gamma^r\colon \bar{E}^r_{n-m',m'-1} \longrightarrow E^r_{n-m',m'-1}$$

is an isomorphism for $r > n-m'$ *and* $2 \leq r \leq m'$, *and the projection of the element*

$$\zeta = (-1)^{m'} \sum_{|a_i|=m',|a_j|=n-m'} c_{ij}(a_j\otimes\bar{u}_i)$$

generates its kernel for $(m'+1) \leq r \leq n-m'$.

(vi) *The projection of* $1\otimes(\alpha')_*(\iota_{n-2})$ *to* $\bar{E}^r_{0,n-2}$ *generates the kernel of*

$$\gamma^r\colon \bar{E}^r_{0,n-2} \longrightarrow E^r_{0,n-2}$$

for $2 \leq r \leq n-m'$.

Proof of part (i). Observe there is the following homotopy commmutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} S^{n-1} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\ell"] & \bar{P} \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, equal] & P \arrow[d, equal] \\ F \arrow[r, "f"] & \bar{P} \arrow[r, "i"] & P, \end{tikzcd} \tag{5}$$

where the top row is the cofibration sequence for the map α, F is the homotopy fibre of the inclusion $\bar{P} \xrightarrow{i} P$, the bottom row corresponding homotopy fibration sequence, and ℓ is some lift. Since $\bar{P} \xrightarrow{i} P$ induces an isomorphism on mod-p

homology in degrees less than n, F is at least $(n-2)$-connected. It is well known that fibres and cofibres agree in the stable range. That is, the lift ℓ induces an isomorphism on mod-p homology in degrees less than $m+n-1$. Thus ℓ is an inclusion into the bottom sphere inducing in isomorphism in degree $n-1$ mod-p homology, and the adjoint $S^{n-2} \xrightarrow{\ell'} \Omega F$ of ℓ induces an isomorphism in degree $n-2$.

By the mod-p homology Serre exact sequence for the homotopy fibration

$$\Omega F \xrightarrow{\Omega f} \Omega \bar{P} \xrightarrow{\Omega i} \Omega P$$

the image of $(\Omega f)_*$ is equal to the kernel of $(\Omega i)_*$ in degree $n-2$. By the left homotopy commutative square in diagram (5), α' is homotopic to

$$S^{n-2} \xrightarrow{\ell'} \Omega F \xrightarrow{\Omega f} \Omega \bar{P}.$$

Since ℓ' induces an isomorphism in degree $n-2$, the element $\alpha'_*(\iota_{n-2})$ must generate the kernel of $(\Omega i)_*$ in degree $n-2$.

To see that $\alpha'_*(\iota_{n-2}) = 0$ whenever $m' = n$, by part (iv) the map

$$\gamma^r : \bar{E}^r_{r,n-r-1} \longrightarrow E^r_{r,n-r-1}$$

is an isomorphism for $r \geq 2$. Since $\bar{E}^\infty = E^\infty = \{0\}$, $\bar{E}^{r+1}_{0,n-2} = \frac{\bar{E}^r_{0,n-2}}{\bar{d}^r(\bar{E}^r_{r,n-r-1})}$, and $E^{r+1}_{0,n-2} = \frac{E^r_{0,n-2}}{d^r(E^r_{r,n-r-1})}$, then

$$\gamma^r : \bar{E}^r_{0,n-2} \longrightarrow E^r_{0,n-2}$$

is an isomorphism for all $r \geq 2$. Since $\alpha'_*(\iota_{n-2})$ generates the kernel of $(\Omega i)_*$ in degree $n-2$, $1 \otimes \alpha'_*(\iota_{n-2}) \in \bar{E}^2_{0,n-2}$ generates the kernel of γ^2. But γ^2 being an isomorphism implies $1 \otimes \alpha'_*(\iota_{n-2}) = 0$, so $\alpha'_*(\iota_{n-2}) = 0$.

□

Proof of part (ii). Since path fibrations are principal fibrations, differentials for the spectral sequence of $\bar{E}$ for the path fibration of $\bar{P}$ satisfy

$$\bar{d}^r(a \otimes b) = (1 \otimes b)\bar{d}^r(a \otimes 1)$$

for any a, b. Similarly for the spectral sequence E for the path fibration of P.

Since the elements $a_i \otimes 1 \in \bar{E}^2_{|a_i|,0}$ are transgressive,

$$\bar{d}^r(a_i \otimes 1) = 0$$

for $r < |a_i|$. Since $\gamma^2(a_i \otimes 1) = a_i \otimes 1 \in E^2_{|a_i|,0}$, the result follows by naturality of spectral sequences.

□

Proof of part (iii). In $E^{|a_i|}_{*,*}$ we have the differentials

$$d_{|a_i|}(1 \otimes u_i^*) = a_i^* \otimes 1, d^{|a_i|}(a_i \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes u_i,$$

where u_i^* and a_i^* are the mod-p cohomology duals. Since we assume $|a_1| \leq \cdots \leq |a_\ell|$, then $|a_1| = \min\{|a_1|, \ldots, |a_\ell|\}$. When $|a_i| + |a_j| = n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{m'}(a_j^* \otimes u_i^*) &= (-1)^{m'}(a_j^* \otimes 1)d_{m'}(1 \otimes u_i^*) \\ &= (-1)^{m'}(a_j^* a_i^*) \otimes 1 \\ &= (-1)^{m'} c_{ij} z^* \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since c_{ij} is divisible by p whenever $|a_j|+|a_i|=n$ and $|a_i|<m'$, then $d_{|a_j|}(a_j^*\otimes u_i^*)=0$. Then for $r<m'$ the differentials

$$d_r\colon E_r^{n-r,r-1}\longrightarrow E_r^{n,0}$$

in the cohomology spectral sequence for the path fibration of P are zero. By duality of the spectral sequence, $d^r(z\otimes 1)=0$ when $r<m'$, and so we can project $z\otimes 1$ to $E^{m'}_{n,0}$.

When $m'=|z|=n$, we see that $z\otimes 1$ is transgressive, with

$$d^n(z\otimes 1)=w$$

for some nonzero $w\in E^n_{0,n-1}$. On the other hand, when $m'<n$, we have some integers c'_{ij} such that

$$d^{m'}(z\otimes 1)=\sum_{|a_i|=m',|a_j|=n-m'} c'_{ij}(a_j\otimes u_i)$$

From the duality of the spectral sequence,

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^{m'}c_{ij}=\Big\langle(-1)^{m'}c_{ij}z^*,z\Big\rangle &= \Big\langle d_{m'}(a_j^*\otimes u_i^*),z\Big\rangle\\ &= \Big\langle a_j^*\otimes u_i^*,d^{m'}(z\otimes 1)\Big\rangle\\ &= \Bigg\langle a_j^*\otimes u_i^*,\sum_{|a_s|=m',|a_t|=n-m'} c'_{st}a_s\otimes u_t\Bigg\rangle=c'_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of part (iv). Since the inclusion $\bar P\xrightarrow{i}P$ induces isomorphisms $H_i(\bar P)\xrightarrow{i_*}H_i(P)$ for $i\neq n$, by the Serre homology exact sequences for the path fibrations of $\bar P$ and P, the map $\Omega\bar P\xrightarrow{\Omega i}\Omega P$ induces isomorphisms $H_i(\Omega\bar P)\xrightarrow{(\Omega i)_*}H_i(\Omega P)$ for $i<n-2$. Therefore $\bar E^2_{i,j}\xrightarrow{\gamma^2}E^2_{i,j}$ is an isomorphism for $i\neq n$ and $j<n-2$.

By parts (ii) and (iii), elements in $E^r_{i,j}$ are in the image of a differential d^r only when $i=0$, or when $i=n-m'$, $r=m'$, and $j\geq m'-1$. By part (ii) differentials d^r are zero on $E^r_{i,*}$ when $i\neq n$ and $2\leq r<i$. The above also holds true for the spectral sequence $\bar E$ in place of E. Therefore γ^2 extends to isomorphisms $\bar E^r_{i,j}\xrightarrow{\gamma^r}E^r_{i,j}$ for $2\leq r\leq i$, $j<n-2$, $i\neq n-m'$, and $i\neq n$, or when $2\leq r\leq i$, $i=n-m'$ and $j<m'-1$.

For the case $i=0$, suppose by induction $\bar E^r_{0,j}\xrightarrow{\gamma^r}E^r_{0,j}$ is an isomorphism for some $r\geq 2$ and $j<n-2$. This is true when $r=2$. As we saw above $\bar E^r_{r,j-r+1}\xrightarrow{\gamma^r}E^r_{r,j-r+1}$ is an isomorphism when $r\neq n-m'$, $r\neq n$, and $r\geq 2$. Therefore it is an isomorphism for all choices of $(r,j-r+1)$ laying on the positive quadrant with $j<n-2$ (since $j<n-2$ implies $j-r+1<m'-1$ whenever $r=n-m'$, and $r<n$ whenever $j-r+1=0$). Since $\bar E^{r+1}_{0,j}=\frac{\bar E^r_{0,j}}{\bar d^r(\bar E^r_{r,j-r+1})}$, and $E^{r+1}_{0,j}=\frac{E^r_{0,j}}{d^r(E^r_{r,j-r+1})}$, then $\bar E^{r+1}_{0,j}\xrightarrow{\gamma^{r+1}}E^{r+1}_{0,j}$ is also an isomorphism, and the induction is finished.

□

Proof of part (v). From the proof of part (iv), $\bar E^2_{n-m',m'-1}\xrightarrow{\gamma^2}E^2_{n-m',m'-1}$ is an isomorphism. That γ^2 extends to isomorphisms $\bar E^r_{n-m',m'-1}\xrightarrow{\gamma^r}E^r_{n-m',m'-1}$ for $2\leq r\leq m'$ follows by parts (ii) and (iii).

Since $E^{m'+1}_{n-m',m'-1} = \frac{E^{m'}_{n-m',m'-1}}{d^{m'}(z\otimes 1)}$, ζ generates the kernel of $\bar{E}^{m'+1}_{n-m',m'-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{m'}} E^{m'+1}_{n-m',m'-1}$.

We have $\bar{E}^{r}_{n-m',m'-1} \cong \bar{E}^{r}_{n-m',m'-1}$ and $E^{r}_{n-m',m'-1} \cong E^{r}_{n-m',m'-1}$ for $m'+1 \leq r \leq n-m'$ following from part (ii). Hence the projection of ζ generates the kernel of $\bar{E}^{r}_{n-m',m'-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{r}} E^{r}_{n-m',m'-1}$ for $m'+1 \leq r \leq n-m'$.

□

Proof of part (vi). By part (i), $(\alpha')_*(\iota_{n-2})$ generates the kernel of $(\Omega i)_*$, so $1 \otimes (\alpha')_*(\iota_{n-2})$ generates the kernel of $\bar{E}^{2}_{0,n-2} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{2}} E^{2}_{0,n-2}$.

Fix some $2 \leq r < n-m'$, and suppose the projection of $1 \otimes (\alpha')_*(\iota_{n-2})$ generates the kernel of $\bar{E}^{r}_{0,n-2} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{r+1}} E^{r}_{0,n-2}$.

Recall from part (iv) that $\bar{E}^{r}_{r,n-r-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{r}} E^{r}_{r,n-r-1}$ is an isomorphism for $2 \leq r < n-m'$. Then because $\bar{E}^{r+1}_{0,n-2} = \frac{\bar{E}^{r}_{0,n-2}}{\bar{d}^{r}(\bar{E}^{r}_{r,n-r-1})}$ and $E^{r+1}_{0,n-2} = \frac{E^{r}_{0,n-2}}{d^{r}(E^{r}_{r,n-r-1})}$, the projection of $1 \otimes (\alpha')_*(\iota_{n-2})$ also generates the kernel of $\bar{E}^{r+1}_{0,n-2} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{r+1}} E^{r+1}_{0,n-2}$, and the result follows by induction.

□

In the next lemma we use the following sets for indexing:

$$\mathcal{A}_{s,k} = \{(i,j) | k < i < j \leq \ell,\ |a_i| = s,\ |a_j| = n-s\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \bigcup_s \mathcal{A}_{s,k} = \{(i,j) | k < i < j \leq \ell,\ |a_i| + |a_j| = n\}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Set* $\eta = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$. *Consider the following elements in* $H_{n-2}(\Omega\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p)$ *for* $m \leq s \leq \eta$*:*

$$\kappa_s = \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{A}_{s,0}} c_{ij}[\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_j],$$

where we use the graded Lie bracket

$$[\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_j] = \bar{u}_i\bar{u}_j - (-1)^{|\bar{u}_i||\bar{u}_j|}\bar{u}_j\bar{u}_i.$$

Let $m' \geq m$ *be the smallest integer such that there is a* c_{ij} *prime to* p *for some* $i \leq j$, *with* i *satisfying* $|a_i| = m'$. *If no such integer exists, set* $m' = |z| = n$.

There exist integers $b_m, b_{m+1}, \ldots, b_\eta$, *each prime to* p, *such that*

$$\alpha'_*(\iota_{n-2}) = \sum_{s=m}^{\eta} (-1)^s b_s \kappa_s.$$

We will prove Proposition 3.2 using an inductive argument on the skeleta of P. We describe the setup for this before diving into the proof.

Since $|a_1| \leq \cdots \leq |a_\ell|$, one can take the subcomplex Y_k of $\bar{P}$, with $H_*(Y_k;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ generated by $a_1, \ldots, a_k$. Here $Y_\ell = \bar{P}$, and Y_0 is a point. Let us consider the quotients P/Y_k and $\bar{P}/Y_k$. Note $P/Y_0 = P$, $\bar{P}/Y_0 = \bar{P}$, $P/Y_\ell = S^n$, and $\bar{P}/Y_\ell = *$. Abusing notation, P/Y_k has reduced mod-p homology generated by $a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_\ell$, and the single degree n generator z. The non-trivial cup products on $\bar{H}^*(P/Y_k;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ are described by $a_j^* a_i^* = c_{ij} z^*$ whenever $|a_j| + |a_i| = n$, and $j \geq k$. We fix m_k to be the smallest integer so that there is a c_{ij} prime to p for some i and j satisfying $k \leq i \leq j$ and $|a_i| = m_k$. If no such integer exists, set $m_k = |z| = n$.

The $(n-1)$-skeleton P/Y_k is $\bar{P}/Y_k$. Let $\alpha_k\colon S^{n-1} \longrightarrow \bar{P}/Y_k$ be the attaching map for the single n-cell of P/Y_k,

$$\alpha'_k\colon S^{n-2} \longrightarrow \Omega\bar{P}/Y_k$$

be the adjoint of α_k, and

$$i_k\colon \bar{P}/Y_k \longrightarrow P/Y_k$$

the inclusion of the $(n-1)$-skeleton.

Let $(\bar{E}_k)$ and (E_k) be the mod-p homology spectral sequence for the path-space fibration of $\bar{P}/Y_k$ and P/Y_k respectively, and

$$\gamma\colon (\bar{E}_k) \longrightarrow (E_k)$$

be the morphism of spectral sequences induced by i_k.

Since $\bar{P}$ is a double suspension, so is $\bar{P}/Y_k$. Then just as before, we have generators $u_{k+1}, \dots, u_\ell \in H_*(\Omega P/Y_{k-1};\mathbb{Z}_p)$, and $\bar{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \bar{u}_\ell \in H_*(\Omega(\bar{P}/Y_{k-1});\mathbb{Z}_p)$, that are the trangressives of $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_\ell$, with the $\bar{u}_i$'s being primitive.

Remark 3.3. *The spaces P/Y_k satisfy the same basic properties as P outlined at the beginning of the section. Then Proposition 3.1 applies for P/Y_k in place of P.*

More precisely, in Proposition 3.1 we can take P/Y_k, $\bar{P}/Y_k$, α_k, i_k, $(\bar{E}_k)$, (E_k), and m_k in place of P, $\bar{P}$, α, i, $\bar{E}$, E, and m' respectively. The sums in parts (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 3.1 are taken with respect to the basis elements $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_\ell$ of $H_*(P/Y_k;\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. The proof proceeds using induction. At each stage we show that the proposition holds for each quotient P/Y_k in place of P. The induction starts with the base case $P/Y_\ell = S^n$ and ends with $P/Y_0 = P$.

Assume Proposition 3.2 holds for the quotient P/Y_k, for some $1 \le k \le \ell$. That is, let us assume $(\alpha'_k)_*(\iota_{n-2}) = \chi_k$, where we set

$$\chi_k = \sum_{s=|a_{k+1}|}^{\eta} (-1)^s b_s \kappa_{s,k},$$

and

$$\kappa_{s,k} = \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{A}_{s,k}} c_{ij}[\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_j]$$

in $H_*(\Omega(\bar{P}/Y_k);\mathbb{Z}_p)$. The base case $k = \ell$ and $P/Y_\ell = S^n$ is clearly true.

Since $\bar{P}/Y_{k-1}$ is a double suspension, the elements $\bar{u}_k, \dots, \bar{u}_\ell$ in

$$H_*(\Omega(\bar{P}/Y_{k-1});\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong T(\bar{u}_k, \dots, \bar{u}_\ell)$$

are primitive. Since $3(m-1) > n-2$, $H_{n-2}(\Omega(\bar{P}/Y_{k-1});\mathbb{Z}_p)$ has no monomials of length greater than 2, and so the brackets $[\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_j]$ subject to $(i,j) \in \mathcal{B}_{k-1}$ form a basis for the primitives in $H_{n-2}(\Omega(\bar{P}/Y_k);\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (note that n is odd implies $i \neq j$). Because ι_{n-2} is primitive, $(\alpha'_{k-1})_*(\iota_{n-2})$ is a primitive element in $H_{n-2}(\Omega(\bar{P}/Y_{k-1});\mathbb{Z}_p)$, and so for some integers c''_{ij} we can set

$$(\alpha'_{k-1})_*(\iota_{n-2}) = \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{B}_{k-1}} c''_{ij}[\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_j].$$

Take the quotient map

$$q_{k-1}\colon \bar{P}/Y_{k-1} \longrightarrow \bar{P}/Y_k.$$

Observe that α_k factors as $q_{k-1} \circ \alpha_{k-1}$, so α'_k factors as

$$\alpha'_k \colon S^{n-2} \xrightarrow{\alpha'_{k-1}} \Omega(\bar{P}/Y_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\Omega q_{k-1}} \Omega(\bar{P}/Y_k).$$

Since the algebra map $(\Omega q_{k-1})_*$ sends $\bar{u}_i$ to $\bar{u}_i$ for $i > k$, and $\bar{u}_k$ to 0, in $H_{n-2}(\Omega(\bar{P}/Y_k);\mathbb{Z}_p)$ we have

$$(\alpha'_k)_*(\iota_{n-2}) = \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{B}_k} c''_{ij}[\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_j].$$

But $(\alpha'_k)_*(\iota_{n-2}) = \chi_k$ by our inductive assumption, so by comparing coefficients

$$c''_{ij} = (-1)^{|a_i|} b_{|a_i|} c_{ij}$$

whenever $(i,j) \in \mathcal{B}_k$. That is, whenever $k < i < j \le \ell$ and $|a_i| + |a_j| = n$. Therefore, in order to show

$$(\alpha'_{k-1})_*(\iota_{n-2}) = \chi_{k-1} = \sum_{s=|a_k|}^{\eta} (-1)^s b_s \kappa_{s,k-1}, \tag{6}$$

where

$$\kappa_{s,k-1} = \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{A}_{s,k-1}} c_{ij}[\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_j],$$

we note that $\mathcal{A}_{|a_k|,k} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{|a_k|,k-1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{s,k} = \mathcal{A}_{s,k-1}$ when $s > |a_k|$, and so we are left to show there exists an integer $b_{|a_k|}$ prime to p such that $c''_{ij} = (-1)^{|a_k|} b_{|a_k|} c_{ij}$, for i and j satisfying $k \le i < j$, $|a_i| = |a_k|$, and $|a_i| + |a_j| = n$.

Using Remark 3.3 and part (iii) of Proposition 3.1,

$$d^{m_k}(z \otimes 1) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m_k} \displaystyle\sum_{|a_i|=m_k, |a_j|=n-m_k} c_{ij}(a_j \otimes u_i), & \text{if } m_k < n \\ 1 \otimes \tau(z), & \text{if } m_k = |z| = n \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

for some nonzero $1 \otimes \tau(z) \in (E_{k-1})^{m_k}_{0,n-1}$.

By part (v) of Proposition 3.1, the map

$$\gamma^r \colon (\bar{E}_{k-1})^r_{n-m_k,m_k-1} \longrightarrow (E_{k-1})^r_{n-m_k,m_k-1} \tag{8}$$

is an isomorphism for $2 \le r \le m_k$, and the following element

$$\zeta = (-1)^{m_k} \sum_{|a_i|=m_k, |a_j|=n-m_k} c_{ij}(a_j \otimes \bar{u}_i) \tag{9}$$

in $(\bar{E}_{k-1})^r_{n-m_k,m_k-1}$, with the sum taken with respect to the basis $a_k, \dots, a_\ell$ of $H_*(\bar{P}/Y_{k-1};\mathbb{Z}_p)$, generates the kernel of γ^r for $m_k+1 \le r \le n-m_k$ (note: $\bar{d}^r(\bar{E}_{k-1})^r_{n-m_k,m_k-1} = \{0\}$ for r in this range, so we can project ζ).

By part (i) of Proposition 3.1, $(\alpha'_{k-1})_*(\iota_{n-2})$ generates the kernel of

$$(\Omega i_{k-1})_* \colon H_{n-2}(\Omega(\bar{P}/Y_{k-1});\mathbb{Z}_p) \xrightarrow{\Omega i_{k-1}} H_{n-2}(\Omega(P/Y_{k-1});\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

and by part (vi), the projection of $1 \otimes (\alpha'_{k-1})_*(\iota_{n-2})$ generates the kernel of

$$\gamma^r \colon (\bar{E}_{k-1})^r_{0,n-2} \longrightarrow (E_{k-1})^r_{0,n-2} \tag{10}$$

for $2 \le r \le n - m_k$. In particular

$$\gamma^r(1 \otimes (\alpha'_{k-1})_*(\iota_{n-2})) = 0$$

for $r \ge 2$.

We now return to showing there exists a $b_{|a_k|}$ prime to p such that $c''_{kj} = (-1)^{|a_k|} b_{|a_k|} c_{ij}$ for i and j such that $k \le i < j$, $|a_i| = |a_k|$, and $|a_i| + |a_j| = n$. The case $m_k = |z| = n$

is easy. Here we have $c_{ij} = 0$ for each choice of i, j, and $(\alpha'_{k-1})_*(\iota_{n-2}) = 0$ by Remark 3.3 and part (i) of Proposition 3.1. Then $c''_{kj} = 0$, and we can set $b_{|a_k|} = 1$. Let us therefore focus on the case $m_k < n$. Fix $q = |a_k|$. By definition $m_k \geq q$, and since $m_k < n$ and n is odd, $q < n - q$.

Let us again recall that path fibrations are principal fibrations, and so our differentials satisfy $d^r(a \otimes b) = (1 \otimes b) d^r(a \otimes 1)$ and $\bar{d}^r(a \otimes b) = (1 \otimes b)\bar{d}^r(a \otimes 1)$.

Consider the following element

$$\zeta'' = \sum_{|a_i|=q, |a_j|=n-q} c''_{ij}(a_j \otimes \bar{u}_i) \tag{11}$$

in $(\bar{E}_{k-1})^r_{n-q,q-1}$ for $2 \leq r \leq n-q$. Since $d^{|a_i|}(a_i \otimes \bar{u}_j) = 1 \otimes \bar{u}_j \bar{u}_i$ in $(\bar{E}_{k-1})^{|a_i|}_{0,n-2}$, then $1 \otimes \bar{u}_j \bar{u}_i = 0$ in $(\bar{E}_{k-1})^r_{0,n-2}$ when $r > |a_i|$, and so $1 \otimes [\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_j] = 1 \otimes \bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j$ in $(\bar{E}_{k-1})^r_{0,n-2}$ under the condition that $|a_i| < |a_j|$. Because $q = |a_k| = \min\{a_k, \ldots, a_\ell\}$, $n - q$ is the largest possible degree of an element $a_j \in \{a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_\ell\}$ such that $|a_i| + |a_j| = n$ for some other element a_i. Then $1 \otimes (\alpha'_{k-1})_*(\iota_{n-2}) = 0$ in $(\bar{E}_{k-1})^r_{0,n-2}$ for $r > n - q$ since it cannot be in the image of any differential. Therefore in $(\bar{E}_{k-1})^{n-q}_{0,n-2}$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes (\alpha'_{k-1})_*(\iota_{n-2}) &= \sum_{|a_i|=q, |a_j|=n-q} c''_{ij}(1 \otimes \bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j) \\ &= \bar{d}^{n-q}(\zeta''). \end{aligned}$$

Since $q < n - q$, no nonzero element in $(E_{k-1})^{n-q}_{n-q,q-1}$ is in the image of the differential d^{n-q}. Likewise, no nonzero element $(\bar{E}_{k-1})^{n-q}_{n-q,q-1}$ is in the image of the differential $\bar{d}^{n-q}$. Since $(E_{k-1})^\infty_{n-q,q-1} = \{0\}$ and $(\bar{E}_{k-1})^\infty_{n-q,q-1} = \{0\}$, the differentials

$$\bar{d}^{n-q} \colon (\bar{E}_{k-1})^{n-q}_{n-q,q-1} \longrightarrow (\bar{E}_{k-1})^{n-q}_{0,n-2}$$

$$d^{n-q} \colon (E_{k-1})^{n-q}_{n-q,q-1} \longrightarrow (E_{k-1})^{n-q}_{0,n-2}$$

must both be injections. Now because $\bar{d}^{n-q}(\zeta'') = 1 \otimes (\alpha'_{k-1})_*(\iota_{n-2})$ in $(\bar{E}_{k-1})^{n-q}_{0,n-2}$, and $\gamma^{n-q}(1 \otimes (\alpha'_{k-1})_*(\iota_{n-2})) = 0$, then

$$\gamma^{n-q}(\zeta'') = \sum_{|a_i|=q, |a_j|=n-q} c''_{ij}(a_j \otimes u_i) = 0.$$

Now suppose $q = m_k$, where again we recall $q = |a_k|$. In this case the projection of $1 \otimes (\alpha'_{k-1})_*(\iota_{n-2})$ generates the kernel of γ^r as in equation (10). Then by naturality of the spectral sequences ζ'' generates the kernel of

$$\gamma^{n-q} = \gamma^{n-m_k} \colon \bar{E}^{n-m_k}_{n-m_k,m_k-1} \longrightarrow E^{n-m_k}_{n-m_k,m_k-1}.$$

But as we saw before, the kernel of this is also generated by ζ, so we must have $\zeta'' = b\zeta$ for some integer b prime to p. Comparing coefficients in equations (9) and (11), we set $b_{|a_k|} = b$, and we have $c''_{ij} = b_{|a_k|}(-1)^{m_k} c_{ij}$ for i and j such that $k \leq i < j$, $|a_i| = m_k$, and $|a_j| = n - m_k$. Therefore equation (6) holds in this case.

Next suppose $q < m_k$. By part (iv) of Proposition 3.1

$$\gamma^{n-q} \colon \bar{E}^{n-q}_{n-q,q-1} \longrightarrow E^{n-q}_{n-q,q-1}$$

is an isomorphism. Since $\gamma^{n-q}(\zeta'') = 0$, we must have $c''_{ij} = 0$ for each of the coefficients of ζ''. Then we can choose $b_{|a_k|} = 1$ for example, and the result follows as the previous case. This finishes the induction.

□

Lemma 3.4. *Let $V = F\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a vector space over a field F, and $T(V)$ the tensor algebra generated by V. For an odd integer N, consider a nonzero homogeneous element in $T(V)$*

$$\xi = \sum_{|x_i|+|x_j|=N} b_{ij} x_i x_j$$

satisfying the condition $b_{ij} \neq 0$ if and only if $b_{ji} \neq 0$, and let I be the two-sided ideal generated by ξ.

Take a nonzero homogeneous element in $T(V)$

$$u = \sum_{|x_i|=M} a_i x_i$$

for some integer M and integers a_i. Then for any element $w \in T(V)$, $wu \in I$ if and only if $w \in I$.

Proof. We will say an element $w \in T(V)$ has *length l* if it is a linear combination of monomials in $T(V)$ of length at most l. This gives a filtration of $T(V)$ by length.

Since $w \in I$ implies $wu \in I$, it remains to show that $w \notin I$ implies $wu \notin I$. The proof is by induction on length of elements in $T(V)$. Assume $w \notin I$ implies $wu \notin I$ for all $w \in T(V)$ of length l. The base case $l = 0$ is clearly true since u is not in I. The case $l = 1$ is also obviously true since ξ must have summands $b_{ij} x_i x_j$ with $b_{ij} \neq 0$ and $|x_j| \neq M$, which wu cannot have (this follows from the fact that $\xi \neq 0$, that N is odd, and the property $b_{ij} \neq 0$ if and only if $b_{ji} \neq 0$).

Consider a nonzero $w \in T(V)$ of length $l+1$ such that $w \notin I$. Let us assume $wu \in I$. Using the inductive assumption we will show this leads to a contradiction.

Note wu has length $l+2$ and and ξ has length 2. Since $wu \in I$, we can write

$$wu = \sum_j v_j x_j + v\xi,$$

with v of length l, and each v_j is some (possibly zero) element in I length $l+1$.

Observe $v \neq 0$, for otherwise $v\xi = 0$, and so we would have $v_j = 0$ whenever $|x_j| \neq M$, and $v_j = a_j w$ whenever $|x_j| = M$, which would imply $w \in I$. Expanding wu and $v\xi$, and comparing like terms,

$$v_j x_j + \sum_i v(b_{ij} x_i x_j) = \begin{cases} w(a_j x_j) & \text{if } |x_j| = M; \\ 0 & \text{if } |x_j| \neq M. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$v_j + v y_j = \begin{cases} a_j w & \text{if } |x_j| = M; \\ 0 & \text{if } |x_j| \neq M, \end{cases}$$

where $y_j = \sum_i b_{ij} x_i$.

Take j so that $|x_j| = M$ and $a_j \neq 0$. Since $v_j + v y_j = a_j w$, $v_j \in I$, and $w \notin I$, it follows that $v y_j \notin I$. Therefore $v \notin I$.

Since $\xi \neq 0$, there exist i and j such that $b_{ij} x_i x_j \neq 0$, implying $b_{ij} \neq 0$, and in turn $b_{ji} \neq 0$. If it happens that $|x_j| = M$, we can instead consider the summand $b_{ji} x_j x_i \neq 0$, where we have $|x_i| \neq M$ since $|x_i| + |x_j| = N$ and N is odd.

In any case, we can choose i and j such that $b_{ij} \neq 0$ and $|x_j| \neq M$. Then $y_j \neq 0$, and since $v \neq 0$, $v y_j \neq 0$. Now because $v \notin I$ and v is of length l, by our inductive assumption $vy_j \notin I$. But $v_j + vy_j = 0$ and $v_j \in I$, so $vy_j = -v_j$ is a nonzero element in I, a contradiction. Therefore $wu \notin I$, which finishes our induction.

□

Theorem 3.5. *Let P be as in the introduction to this section. Assume the following condition holds true:*

(⋆) *there exist elements $a, b \in H^*(P;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that $0 < |a| < |b| < n$, $|a| + |b| = n$, and the cup product $ab \in H^n(P;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is nonzero.*

Then there is a Hopf algebra isomorphism

$$H_*(\Omega P;\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell)/I,$$

where I is the two-sided ideal of $H_*(\Omega\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell)$ generated by the degree $n-2$ element

$$\chi = \sum_{m\le s\le \ell} b_s\kappa_s$$

as described in Proposition 3.2. Moreover, the looped inclusion $\Omega\bar{P} \xrightarrow{\Omega i} \Omega P$ induces a map on mod-p homology modelled by the canonical map $T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell) \longrightarrow T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell)/I$.

Proof. To avoid confusing notation, we will write monomials in $T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell)$ without the tensor product symbol. By Proposition 3.2, the element $\chi \in H_*(\Omega\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell)$ is in the image of the map

$$(\Omega\alpha')_*\colon H_{n-2}(S^{n-2};\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow H_{n-2}(\Omega\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

induced by the adjoint α' of the attaching map α. Thus χ is a primitive element, and $(\Omega i)_*(\chi) = 0$ in $H_*(\Omega P;\mathbb{Z}_p)$, where i is the inclusion $\bar{P} \xrightarrow{i} P$.

Let A be the quotient algebra of the tensor algebra $T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell)$ modulo the two-sided ideal generated by the element χ. Then A is a Hopf algebra because χ is primitive. Since $(\Omega i)_*(\chi) = 0$ in $H_*(\Omega P;\mathbb{Z}_p)$, the Hopf algebra map $\hat{\theta} = (\Omega i)$ factors through Hopf algebra maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\hat{\theta}"] & A \arrow[dl, "\theta"] \\
H_*(\Omega P;\mathbb{Z}_p), &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{12}$$

where the Hopf algebra map θ is defined by $\theta(\bar{u}_i) = u_i$.

We let m' be the smallest integer $m \le m' \le \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ such that there is a c_{ij} prime to p for some $i \le j$, with i satisfying $|a_i| = m'$. By condition (⋆) such an integer m' exists, and $m' < n - m'$.

Consider differential bigraded left A-modules

$$\hat{E}^2_{*,*} = \cdots = \hat{E}^m_{*,*} = \mathbb{Z}_p\{1, a_1, \dots, a_\ell, z\} \otimes A,$$

the element

$$\zeta = (-1)^{m'} \sum_{|a_i|=m', |a_j|=n-m'} c_{ij}(a_j \otimes \bar{u}_i),$$

with *formal differentials* $\hat{d}^r$ of bidegree $(-r, r-1)$ for $r \le m$ given as follows. First define the morphism of left $T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell)$-modules

$$\bar{d}^r\colon \mathbb{Z}_p\{1, a_1, \dots, a_\ell, z\} \otimes T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p\{1, a_1, \dots, a_\ell, z\} \otimes T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell)$$

by $\bar{d}^r = 0$ when $r < m$, and respecting the left action of $T(\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_\ell)$ by assigning

$$\bar{d}^m(x \otimes y) = (1 \otimes y)\bar{d}^m(x \otimes 1),$$

where $\bar{d}^m(1 \otimes y) = 0$; $\bar{d}^m(a_i \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes \bar{u}_i$ whenever $|a_i| = m$, otherwise $\bar{d}^m(a_i \otimes 1) = 0$; and $\bar{d}^m(z \otimes 1) = \zeta$ when $m = m'$, otherwise $\bar{d}^m(z \otimes 1) = 0$.

Since A is the quotient of $T(\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_\ell)$ subject to the relation $\chi \sim 0$, for $r \leq m$ the differential $\bar{d}^r$ induces a morphism $\hat{d}^r$ of A-modules

$$\hat{d}^m\colon \mathbb{Z}_p\{1, a_1, \dots, a_\ell, z\} \otimes A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p\{1, a_1, \dots, a_\ell, z\} \otimes A$$

respecting the left action of A.

Next we define inductively for $r \geq m$

$$\hat{E}^{r+1}_{*,*} = \frac{\ker\left(d^r\colon E^r_{*,*} \longrightarrow E^r_{*-r,*+r-1}\right)}{\mathrm{Im}\ \left(d^r\colon E^r_{*+r,*-r+1} \longrightarrow E^r_{*,*}\right)},$$

and similarly as before we have formal differentials given as morphisms of left A-modules

$$\hat{d}^{r+1}\colon \hat{E}^{r+1}_{*,*} \longrightarrow E^{r+1}_{*-(r+1),*+r}$$

respecting the left action of A, and such that: $\hat{d}^{r+1}(1 \otimes y) = 0$; $\hat{d}^{r+1}(a_i \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes \bar{u}_i$ whenever $|a_i| = r + 1$, otherwise $\hat{d}^{r+1}(a_i \otimes 1) = 0$; and $\hat{d}^{r+1}(z \otimes 1) = \zeta$ if $r + 1 = m'$, otherwise $\hat{d}^{r+1}(z \otimes 1) = 0$.

This gives a formal spectral sequence $\hat{E} = \{\hat{E}^r, \hat{d}^r\}$. We will need to verify that $\hat{E}^\infty_{*,*} = \{0\}$ for $(*,*) \neq (0,0)$, but let us assume that this is the case for now. We shall show by induction that the restiction $\theta\colon A_k \to H_k(\Omega P; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ of the Hopf algebra map θ is an isomorphism for each k.

Let E be mod-p homology spectral sequence for the path fibration of P. The morphism of Hopf algebras $A \xrightarrow{\theta} H_*(\Omega P; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ induces a morphism of spectral sequences

$$\theta\colon \hat{E}^r_{*,*} \longrightarrow E^r_{*,*}$$

in the canonical way with $\theta(1 \otimes \bar{u}_i) = 1 \otimes u_i$, $\theta(\bar{a}_i \otimes 1) = a_i \otimes 1$, and $\theta(z \otimes 1) = z \otimes 1$. Note $\hat{E}^m_{0,*} \xrightarrow{\theta} E^m_{0,*}$ is just our map $A \xrightarrow{\theta} H_*(\Omega P; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, and $A_q = H_q(\Omega P; \mathbb{Z}_p) = \{0\}$ for $0 < q < m - 1$.

Suppose $A_q \xrightarrow{\theta} H_q(\Omega P; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an isomorphism for $0 < q < k$. This implies $\hat{E}^r_{0,q} \xrightarrow{\theta} E^r_{0,q}$ is an isomorphism, and $\hat{E}^r_{i,q} \xrightarrow{\theta} E^r_{i,q}$ is an isomorphism when $q + r - 1 < k$. Since $E^\infty_{*,*} = \{0\}$ and $\hat{E}^\infty_{*,*} = \{0\}$ when $(*,*) \neq (0,0)$, for some sufficiently large $M > m$ the map $\hat{E}^M_{0,k} \xrightarrow{\theta} E^M_{0,k}$ is an isomorphism. By definition of spectral sequences, there is a commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\hat{E}^{r-1}_{r-1,k-r+2} \arrow[r, "\hat{d}^{r-1}"] \arrow[d, "\theta"] & \hat{E}^{r-1}_{0,k} \arrow[r, "proj."] \arrow[d, "\theta"] & \hat{E}^r_{0,k} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\theta"] & 0 \arrow[d, equal] \\
E^{r-1}_{r-1,k-r+2} \arrow[r, "d^{r-1}"] & E^{r-1}_{0,k} \arrow[r, "proj."] & E^r_{0,k} \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By induction the first vertical map is an isomorphism when $r > 2$. When $r = M$ the third vertical map is an isomorphism, and so the second vertical map is also an isomorphism. Iterating this argument over $m \le r < M$, we see that the map

$$\theta\colon A_k = \hat{E}^m_{0,k} \longrightarrow E^m_{0,k} = H_k(\Omega P;\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is an isomorphism. This completes the induction.

It remains to check that $\hat{E}^\infty_{*,*} = \{0\}$ for $(*,*) \neq (0,0)$. Let $\bar{E}$ be mod-p homology spectral sequence for the path fibration of $\bar{P}$. We have

$$\bar{E}^m_{*,*} \cong \bar{E}^2_{*,*} = H_*(\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes H_*(\Omega\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p\{1,a_1,\dots,a_\ell\} \otimes T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell),$$

and $\bar{E}^\infty_{*,*} = \{0\}$ when $(*,*) \neq (0,0)$. The Hopf algebra map $H_*(\Omega\bar{P};\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell) \longrightarrow A$ induces a morphism of spectral sequences

$$\phi\colon \bar{E} \longrightarrow \hat{E}$$

in the canonical way with $\phi^2(1\otimes\bar{u}_i) = 1\otimes\bar{u}_i$, $\phi^2(a_i\otimes 1) = a_i \otimes 1$. Observe

$$\phi^r\colon \bar{E}^r_{i,j} \longrightarrow \hat{E}^r_{i,j}$$

is an epimorphism when $i < n$, and is an isomorphism when $i < n$, $j < n-2$, and $r \le m'$. The differentials $\hat{E}^r_{i,j} \xrightarrow{\hat{d}^r} \hat{E}^r_{i-r,i+r-1}$ are zero for $r < i$ and $i < n$, so when $i < n$ and $r < \min\{i, n-i\}$, we have projections

$$\hat{E}^r_{i,j} \longrightarrow \hat{E}^{r+1}_{i,j} \tag{13}$$

that are isomorphisms. Also, χ is nonzero in $\bar{E}^r_{0,n-2}$ for $r \le n-m'$, and zero for $r > n-m'$ since $\bar{d}^{n-m'}(\zeta) = \chi$.

Note $\hat{E}^m_{k,l} = \{0\}$ when $0 < k < m$, $k > n$, or $l < m-1$. We will first consider those nonzero elements in $\hat{E}^m_{n,l}$ and $\hat{E}^m_{0,l}$ for $l \ge m-1$.

Take any nonzero $x \in \hat{E}^m_{n,l}$. Then $x = z \otimes w$ for some nonzero $w \in A$. Note $\hat{d}^r(\hat{E}^r_{n,l}) = \{0\}$ when $r < m'$, so we can project x to $\hat{E}^{m'}_{n,l}$. Pick $w' \in T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell)$ such that w' projects onto $w \in A$. Since w is nonzero in A, w' is not in the two-sided ideal generated by χ. Take the element following element in $T(\bar{u}_1,\dots,\bar{u}_\ell)$:

$$\sigma'_j = w\left(\sum_{|a_i|=m'} c_{ij}\bar{u}_i\right).$$

Let $\sigma_j \in A$ be the projection of σ'_j onto A. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{d}^{m'}(x) &= (1\otimes w)\hat{d}^{m'}(z\otimes 1) = (1\otimes w)(\zeta)\\ &= (-1)^{m'} \sum_{|a_i|=m',|a_j|=n-m'} c_{ij}(a_j \otimes (w\bar{u}_i))\\ &= (-1)^{m'} \sum_{|a_j|=n-m'} a_j \otimes \sigma_j.\end{aligned}$$

By condition $(*)$ there are integers $k < l$, with $|a_l| = m'$ and $|a_k| = n-m'$, such that c_{lk} is prime to p, so the element σ'_k is nonzero. Because n is odd and w' is not in the two-sided ideal generated by χ, Lemma 3.4 implies σ'_k is not in the two-sided ideal generated by χ. Therefore $\sigma_k \in A$ is nonzero, so $a_k\otimes\sigma_k \in \hat{E}^m_{*,*} = \mathbb{Z}_p\{1,a_1,\dots,a_\ell,z\}\otimes A$ is nonzero, and by this we see that $\hat{d}^{m'}(x) \in \hat{E}^m_{n-m',l+m'-1}$ is also nonzero. By the projection isomorphisms (13), this implies $\hat{d}^{m'}(x) \in \hat{E}^{m'}_{n-m',l+m'-1}$ is nonzero, and so x does not survive to $\hat{E}^{m'+1}_{n,l}$. Thus $\hat{E}^\infty_{n,l} = \hat{E}^{m'+1}_{n,l} = \{0\}$.

Now take $x \in \hat{E}^m_{0,l}$ for $l \geq m-1$. We can pick $x' \in \bar{E}^m_{0,l}$ so that $\phi^m(x') = x$. Since $\bar{E}^\infty_{0,l} = \{0\}$, there exists an $\dot{x} \in \bar{E}^r_{*,*}$ for some $r \geq m$ such that $\bar{d}^r(\dot{x}) = x'$. Then in $\hat{E}^r_{0,l}$,

$$x = \phi^r(x') = \phi^r(\bar{d}^r(\dot{x})) = \hat{d}^r(\phi^r(\dot{x})),$$

and so $x = 0$ in $\hat{E}^{r+1}_{0,l}$. Thus $\hat{E}^\infty_{0,l} = \{0\}$.

It remains to consider those elements in $\hat{E}^m_{k,l}$ when $m \leq k < n$. Because the elements in $\hat{E}^m_{k,0}$ for $m \leq k < n$ are transgressive, the differentials $\hat{E}^i_{k,l} \xrightarrow{d^i} \hat{E}^i_{k-i,l+i-1}$ are zero for $l \geq 0$ and $m \leq i < k$, and so we might as well project to $\hat{E}^k_{k,l}$.

Suppose $x \in \hat{E}^k_{k,l}$ and $x \neq 0$. We will show that $\hat{d}^k(x) \neq 0$. Hence $\hat{E}^\infty_{k,l} = \hat{E}^{k+1}_{k,l} = \{0\}$. There are three subcases: $m \leq k < n-m'$, $k = n-m'$, and $n-m' < k < n$. We assume $x \neq 0$ and $\hat{d}^k(x) = 0$ to arrive at a contradiction.

Let us first consider the case $m \leq k < n-m'$. We can pick $x' \in \bar{E}^k_{k,l}$ such that $\phi^k(x') = x$. Then $\phi^k(\bar{d}^k(x')) = \hat{d}^k(x) = 0$, and so inspecting the kernel of $\bar{E}^k_{0,k+l-1} \xrightarrow{\phi^k} \hat{E}^k_{0,k+l-1}$, $y' = \bar{d}^k(x') \in \bar{E}^k_{0,k+l-1}$ must be a linear combination

$$y' = \sum_i v_i \chi w_i,$$

where v_i and w_i are monomials in $T(\bar{u}_1, \ldots, \bar{u}_\ell)$. Since x' is nonzero in $\bar{E}^k_{*,*}$, and $\bar{E}^\infty_{*,*} = \{0\}$ for $(*,*) \neq (0,0)$, y' must also nonzero in $\bar{E}^k_{*,*}$, and so we might as well assume the monomials v_i and w_i are nonzero. Since y' is in the image of $\bar{d}^k$ with $k < n-m'$, and (by definition of m') χ is linear combination containing summands $c_{ij}u_iu_j$ with $|u_j| = n-m'$ and c_{ij} prime to p, each of the w_i's must be a monomial of length at least 1 of the form

$$w_i = w_i'\bar{u}_{k_i}$$

for some monomial w_i' and $\bar{u}_{k_i}$ such that $|\bar{u}_{k_i}| = k$. Since $\bar{d}^k(x') = y'$,

$$x' = \sum_i a_{k_i} \otimes v_i \chi w_i'.$$

But since χ is zero in A, each term $v_i\chi w_i'$ is as well, and so $x = 0$ in $\hat{E}^k_{k,l}$, a contradition. Hence we must have $\hat{d}^k(x) \neq 0$.

Now consider the case $n-m' < k < n$. As in the previous case, we can write y'

$$y' = \sum_i v_i \chi w_i.$$

Here $w\chi$ is also zero in $\bar{E}^k_{*,*}$ for any monomial w, since

$$w\chi = \bar{d}^{n-m'}\left((-1)^{m'} \sum_{|a_i|=m',|a_j|=n-m'} c_{ij}(a_j \otimes w\bar{u}_i)\right)$$

Therefore each monomial w_i is nonzero of length at least 1, (for otherwise $0 = y' = \bar{d}^k(x')$, which implies $x' = 0$), with $w_i = w_i'\bar{u}_{k_i}$ for some monomial w_i' and $\bar{u}_{k_i}$ such that $|\bar{u}_{k_i}| = k$. As before this implies $x = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $\hat{d}^k(x) \neq 0$.

Finally let us consider $k = n-m'$. In this case

$$y' = \sum_i v_i \chi w_i + \sum_i y_i \chi$$

for some nonzero monomial v_i, and nonzero monomial w_i of length at least 1. As before, we must have $w_i = w_i'\bar{u}_{k_i}$ for some w_i' and $\bar{u}_{k_i}$ such that $|\bar{u}_{k_i}| = n - m'$. Let $\zeta' \in \bar{E}^{n-m'}_{n-m',m'-1}$ be the element satisfying $\phi^{n-m'}(\zeta') = \zeta$. Observe that in $\bar{E}^{n-m'}_{0,n-2}$ we have $\bar{d}^{n-m'}(b\zeta') = \chi$ for some integer b prime to p. Thus

$$x' = \sum_i (a_{k_i} \otimes v_i \chi w_i') + b\sum_i (1 \otimes y_i)\zeta'.$$

Since χ is zero in A,

$$x = \phi^{n-m'}(x') = \phi^{n-m'}(b\sum_i (1 \otimes y_i)\zeta') = b\sum_i (1 \otimes y_i)\zeta.$$

But in $\hat{E}^{m'}_{*,*}$ we have $\bar{d}^{m'}(z\otimes 1) = \zeta$, so $\bar{d}^{m'}(z\otimes y_i) = (1\otimes y_i)\zeta$. So because $m' < n-m'$, $(1\otimes y_i)\zeta$ is zero in $\hat{E}^{n-m'}_{*,*}$, and it follows that $x = 0$, a contradition. Hence $\hat{d}^k(x) \neq 0$. □

4. Some additional structure on the mod-p Cohomology ring

It is the non-trivial action of Bockstein operations that impose the restrictions seen in Proposition 2.1. As we will see later, they are necessary for Theorem 2.3 to be true in general.

Recall that the mod-p Bockstein operations $\beta_r\colon H_*(X) \longrightarrow H_{*-1}(X)$ are derivations with respect to the homology multiplication induced by an H-space structure on X. That is,

$$\beta_r(xy) = \beta_r(x)y + (-1)^{|x|}x\beta_r(y).$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Take the attaching map $S^{2n-2} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bar{W}$ and its adjoint α'. By Proposition 3.2, in $H_{2n-3}(\Omega\bar{W};\mathbb{Z}_p)$ we have

$$\alpha'_*(\iota_{2n-3}) = \sum_{i,j}(-1)^{n-1}a_{ij}[\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_j].$$

for some generator $\iota_{2n-3} \in H_{2n-3}(S^{2n-3};\mathbb{Z}_p)$. As sets we have

$$\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{k_1}\} = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_l\}$$

for some $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_l$ and $l \leq k_1$. Since $\bar{u}_i$ and $\bar{v}_i$ are the transgressions of x_i and y_i, $\beta_{r_i}(\bar{v}_i) = \bar{u}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k_1$, and $\beta_r(\bar{v}_i) = 0$ for each $r > 0$ and $k_1 < i \leq k$. Therefore $\beta_{s_t}([\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_j]) = 0$ whenever $j > k_1$ or $s_t \neq r_j$. Then because $\beta_{s_t}(\alpha'_*(\iota_{2n-3})) = \alpha'_*(\beta_{s_t}(\iota_{2n-3})) = \alpha'_*(0) = 0$ for each t, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{t=1}^{l}\beta_{s_t}(\alpha'_*(\iota_{2n-3})) \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{l}\beta_{s_t}\left(\sum_{i,j}(-1)^{n-1}a_{ij}[\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_j]\right) \\
&= \sum_{j\leq k_1,\ i}(-1)^{n-1}a_{ij}\beta_{r_j}([\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_j]) \\
&= (-1)^{n-1}\sum_{j\leq k_1,\ i}a_{ij}[\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_j] \\
&= (-1)^{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_1}a_{ii}[\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_i] + \sum_{j<i\leq k_1}(a_{ij} - (-1)^n a_{ji})[\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_j] + \sum_{j\leq k_1, i>k_1}a_{ij}[\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_j]\right).
\end{aligned}$$

When n is odd it follows that $a_{ii} = 0$ and $a_{ij} + a_{ji} = 0$ whenever $j < i \le k_1$, and $a_{ij} = 0$ whenever $1 \le j \le k_1$ and $k_1 < i \le k$. Namely B_{z^*} is skew symmetric and $C_{z^*} = 0$. When n is even $a_{ij} - a_{ji} = 0$ and $[\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_i] = 0$, so there is no restriction on the a_{ii}'s. In this case B_{z^*} is symmetric, and likewise $C_{z^*} = 0$. □

5. THE EFFECT OF LOOPING IN RANK ONE

In this section we fix a class $[V] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{1,n}$ for p an odd prime, and again assume that all our spaces are localized at p.

$H_*(V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is generated by x, y, and z, where $|x| = n-1$, $|y| = n$, and $|z| = 2n-1$. If $\beta_r(y) = x$ for some $r > 0$, then we can and will take $V \in [V]$ so that $(2n-2)$-skeleton $\bar{V}$ of V is the Moore space space $P^n(p^r)$. Similarly when $\beta_r(y) = 0$ for each $r > 0$, $V \in [V]$ can be taken so that $\bar{V} = S^{n-1} \vee S^n$.

Let u and v in $H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the transgressions of x and y respectively, with $|v| = n-1$ and $|u| = n-2$. The following corollaries are direct consequences of Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.2 respectively.

Corollary 5.1. *Take $[V] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{1,n}$ with $n \ge 3$. Then $H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong T(u) \otimes T(v)$ as Hopf algebras.*

Corollary 5.2. *Take $[V] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{1,n}$ with $n \ge 3$. Let $\alpha\colon S^{2n-2} \to P^n(p^r)$ be the attaching map for the V, and $\alpha'\colon S^{2n-3} \to \Omega P^n(p^r)$ be the adjoint map of α. Then*

$$\alpha'_*(\iota_{2n-3}) = [u,v].$$

The following lemma is a special case of Barratt's work on growth of homotopy exponents [2], or Theorem 4.1 in [6].

Proposition 5.3. *Fix some $n \ge 4$, and let C be a finite wedge of Moore spaces $P^n(p^{r_i})$. Let $s = \max_i\{r_i\}$. Then*

$$p^{s+k}\pi_i(C) = 0 \text{ if } i \le p^{k+1}(n-2).$$

Let $S^{2m-1}\{p^r\}$ be the homotopy theoretic fibre of the degree p^r map $S^{2m-1} \xrightarrow{p^r} S^{2m-1}$. Recall from [4] there exists map

$$h\colon S^{2m-1}\{p^r\} \xrightarrow{h} \Omega P^{2m}(p^r) \tag{14}$$

that is modelled on mod-p homology by mapping $H_*(S^{2m-1}\{p^r\};\mathbb{Z}_p)$ isomorphically onto the left $T(u)$-submodule of $H_*(\Omega P^{2m}(p^r);\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong T(u,v)$. This map has a left homotopy inverse $\Omega P^{2m}(p^r) \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} S^{2m-1}\{p^r\}$ that induces a map on mod-p homology modelled by the abelianization map $T(u,v) \longrightarrow S(u,v)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $[V] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{1,2m}$ with $m > 2$ and $\beta_r(y) = x$ for some $r > 0$. Then v^2 is spherical in $H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$.*

Proof. Let $S^{4m-2} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P^{2m}(p^r)$ be the attaching map for V. By Proposition 5.3, $[\alpha]$ has order p^r in $\pi_{4m-2}(P^{2m}(p^r))$. Thus α extends to a map $P^{4m-1}(p^r) \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} P^{2m}(p^r)$. By taking the adjoint of $\bar{\alpha}$, we have the map

$$\bar{\alpha}'\colon P^{4m-2}(p^r) \longrightarrow \Omega P^{2m}(p^r)$$

which induces

$$\bar{\alpha}'_*\colon \bar{H}_*(P^{4m-2}(p^r);\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow H_*(\Omega P^{2m}(p^r);\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Let $u' \in H_{4m-3}(P^{4m-2}(p^r);\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $v' \in H_{4m-2}(P^{4m-2}(p^r);\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be a basis with $\beta_r(v') = u'$. Since $\bar{\alpha}'$ restricted to S^{4m-3} is α', we have

$$\bar{\alpha}'_*(u') = \pm[u,v].$$

We may assume that $\bar{\alpha}'_*(u') = [u,v]$ because otherwise we can replace u' and v' to be $-u'$ and $-v'$.

When $m > 2$, $|u^3| = 3(2m-2) = 6m-6 > |v^2| = 2(2m-1) = 4m-2$, which implies $2m > 4$. Then $H_{4m-2}(\Omega P^{2m}(p^r);\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a 1-dimensional vector space with a basis given by v^2, implying $\alpha'_*(v') = kv^2$ for some k. Since

$$k[u,v] = \beta_r(kv^2) = \beta_r(\bar{\alpha}'_*(v')) = \bar{\alpha}'_*(\beta_r(v')) = \bar{\alpha}'_*(u') = [u,v],$$

we have $k = 1$. Therefore $\bar{\alpha}'_*(v') = v^2$.

Consider the composite

$$f\colon P^{4m-2}(p^r) \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}'} \Omega P^{2m}(p^r) \longrightarrow \Omega V.$$

By Corollary 5.1 there is a Hopf algebra isomorphism $H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong T(u)\otimes T(v)$, and the H-map $\Omega P^{2m}(p^r) \longrightarrow \Omega V$ induces a map on mod-p homology modelled by the algebra map $T(u,v) \longrightarrow T(u)\otimes T(v)$ sending u to u and v to v. Thus $f_*(\iota_{4m-2}) = v^2$.

Now f factors through a map

$$\bar{f}\colon S^{4m-2} \longrightarrow \Omega V,$$

because its restriction to the bottom sphere S^{4m-3} is the adjoint of the null homotopic map $S^{4m-2} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P^{2m}(p^r) \longrightarrow V$, and we have $\bar{f}_*(\iota_{4m-2}) = f_*(\iota_{4m-2}) = v^2$. □

Theorem 5.5. *Take* $[V] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{1,2m}$ *with* $m > 2$ *and* $\beta_r(y) = x$ *for some* $r > 0$. *Then*

$$\Omega V \simeq S^{2m-1}\{p^r\} \times \Omega S^{4m-1}.$$

Proof. Consider the composite

$$\phi : S^{2m-1}\{p^r\} \xrightarrow{h} \Omega P^{2m}(p^r) \longrightarrow \Omega V,$$

where the last map is the looped inclusion. The map $S^{2m-1}\{p^r\} \xrightarrow{h} \Omega P^{2m}(p^r)$ is modelled on mod-p homology by mapping $H_*(S^{2m-1}\{p^r\};\mathbb{Z}_p)$ isomorphically onto the left $T(u)$-submodule of $H_*(\Omega P^{2m}(p^r);\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong T(u,v)$ with basis $\{1,v\}$, where $|v| = n-1$ and $|u| = n-2$. Also, by Theorem 3.5, there is a Hopf algebra isomorphism

$$H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong T(u)\otimes T(v)$$

and the H-map $\Omega P^{2m}(p^r) \longrightarrow \Omega V$ induces a map on mod-p homology modelled by the algebra map $T(u,v) \longrightarrow T(u)\otimes T(v)$ sending u to u and v to v. It follows that ϕ_* is modelled by an isomorpism onto the left $T(u)$-submodule of $T(u)\otimes T(v)$ with basis $\{1,v\}$.

Now consider the map $S^{4m-2} \xrightarrow{\alpha'} \Omega V$ from the proof of Lemma 5.4 which makes the class $v^2 \in H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ spherical. Since ΩV is an H-space, by the universal property of the James construction for ΩS^{4m-1} α' extends to an H-map $\Omega S^{4m-1} \xrightarrow{\theta} \Omega V$. Then θ_* is modelled on mod-p homology by mapping $T(\iota_{4m-2})$ onto the subalgebra of $T(u)\otimes T(v)$ generated by v^2.

One now sees that the product

$$S^{2m-1}\{p^r\} \times \Omega S^{4m-1} \xrightarrow{\phi\times\theta} \Omega V \times \Omega V \xrightarrow{mult.} \Omega V$$

induces an isomorphism on mod-p homology, thus is a homotopy equivalence. □

The following theorem is probably well known.

Theorem 5.6. *Take* $[V] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{1,n}$ *with* $\beta_r(y) = 0$ *for each* $r > 0$. *Then*

$$\Omega V \simeq \Omega S^{n-1} \times \Omega S^n.$$

Proof. We take $V \in [V]$ so that $\bar{V} = S^{n-1} \vee S^n$. Recall for general spaces X and Y, the looped inclusion $\Omega(X \vee Y) \longrightarrow \Omega(X \times Y) = \Omega X \times \Omega Y$ has a right homotopy inverse. Thus for $X = S^{n-1}$ and $Y = S^n$ we have a right homotopy inverse

$$\Omega S^{n-1} \times \Omega S^n \xrightarrow{s} \Omega(S^{n-1} \vee S^n).$$

On mod-p homology, s_* is modelled by the inclusion of Hopf algebras $T(u') \otimes T(v') \longrightarrow T(u', v')$, where $|x'| = n-2$ and $|y'| = n-1$. Since $\Omega(i')_*$ is an algebra map, it is clear that the composite

$$\Omega S^{n-1} \times \Omega S^n \xrightarrow{s} \Omega(S^{n-1} \vee S^n) \xrightarrow{i} \Omega V$$

induces an isomorphism in mod-p homology, and so is a homotopy equivalence. □

6. HIGHER RANKS

Throughout this section we fix some class $[W] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{k,2m}$ with $k \geq 2$, and $m > 2$, with all spaces localized at an odd prime p.

We recall the properties for W described in Section 2. The generators $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_k$ will denote the basis for $H_{2m-1}(W; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $H_{2m}(W; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ dual to the mod-p cohomology basis that we gave earlier, while $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in H_{2m-2}(\Omega V; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in H_{2m-1}(\Omega V; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ will denote the transgressions of the x_i's and y_j's. We have $\beta_{r_i}(y_i) = x_i$ for some integers $r_1, \ldots, r_{k_1}$, and integer $0 \leq k_1 \leq k$. For convenience we take $W \in [W]$ so that the homotopy equivalence in equation (2), corresponding to our choice of basis above, is a homeomorphism.

Recall the $k \times k$ $\mathbb{Z}_p$-matrix $A_{z^*} = (a_{ij})$ associated with the cup product structure of $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ with respect to our choice of basis. We have A_{z^*} is nonsingular. By Proposition 2.1 the $k_1 \times k_1$ matrix B_{z^*} in the block partition of A_{z^*} (equation 3) is symmetric, and the $k_2 \times k_1$ matrix C_{z^*} is zero. In paricular $a_{ij} = 0$ for $k_1 < i \leq k$, and $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ whenever $1 \leq i \leq k_1$.

Let us assume $k_1 \geq 1$ for now. We may as well assume our mod-p homology basis has been ordered so that

$$r_1 = \max\{r_1, \ldots, r_{k_1}\}.$$

Since A_{z^*} is nonsingular, there must exist an integer $i > 1$ such that $a_{i1} \neq 0$ whenever $a_{11} = 0$. If this is the case, then we will assume for the sake of convenience that our mod-p homology basis corresponding to the splitting of $\bar{W}$ has been ordered so that $i = 2$.

We will construct a certain map

$$q: W \longrightarrow V \tag{15}$$

which will be used in the upcoming proofs. Here $[V] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{1,2m}$ with $\bar{V} = P^{2m}(p^{r_1})$, and q_* is nonzero in degree $2n-1$, and is nonzero for some choice of degree $2m-1$

and degree $2m$ generators. The restrictions on the matrix A_{z^*} mentioned above will be necessary in order for q to exist in general. A similar map is constructed for the special case $k_1 = 0$. This construction of will depend on a few seperate cases, again assuming $k_1 \geq 1$:

1. $a_{11} \neq 0$.
2. $a_{11} = 0$: Since A_{z^*} is nonsingular, there is an integer $i > 1$ such that $a_{i1} \neq 0$. We must have $i \leq k_1$, because $a_{ij} = 0$ when $i > k_1$. So i corresponds to a Moore space $P^{2m}(p^{r_i})$ in the splitting of $\bar{W}$. We consider three subcases:
   - (a) $r_1 = r_2$ and $a_{22} \neq 0$;
   - (b) $r_1 = r_2$ and $a_{22} = 0$;
   - (c) $r_1 > r_2$.

If the first case holds, let $\hat{W} = \bar{W}/P^{2m}(p^{r_1})$. One may notice that the quotient $V = W/\hat{W}$, which extends the quotient $\bar{W}/\hat{W} = P^{2m}(p^{r_1})$, has its homotopy type in $\mathcal{T}^p_{1,2m}$. Otherwise when part (a) of the second case holds, let us fix $\hat{W} = \bar{W}/P^{2m}(p^{r_2})$ and $V = W/\hat{W}$. In either case we set $W \xrightarrow{q} V$ as the respective quotient map.

Now consider parts (b) and (c) of the second case. A_{z^*} being symmetric implies $a_{21} = a_{12}$. Setting $\hat{W} = \bar{W}/(P^{2m}(p^{r_1}) \vee P^{2m}(p^{r_2}))$, let V' denote the quotient $W/\hat{W}$ and $W \xrightarrow{q'} V'$ the corresponding quotient map. Set $t = r_1 - r_2 \geq 0$, and take the map $P^{2m}(p^{r_2}) \xrightarrow{\zeta} P^{2m}(p^{r_1})$ as the induced map of cofibres in the cofibration diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{2m-1} \arrow[r, "p^{r_2}"] \arrow[d, equal] & S^{2m-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p^t"] & P^{2m}(p^{r_2}) \arrow[d, "\zeta"] \\
S^{2m-1} \arrow[r, "p^{r_1}"] & S^{2m-1} \arrow[r] & P^{2m}(p^{r_1}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let V be the pushout in the pushout diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P^{2m}(p^{r_1}) \vee P^{2m}(p^{r_2}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathbb{1}\vee\zeta"] & V' \arrow[d] \\
P^{2m}(p^{r_1}) \arrow[r] & V,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal maps are inclusions. Let q be the composite

$$q\colon W \xrightarrow{q'} V' \longrightarrow V.$$

Observe q extends the composite

$$\bar{W} \longrightarrow \bar{W}/\hat{W} = P^{2m}(p^{r_1}) \vee P^{2m}(p^{r_2}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}\vee\zeta} P^{2m}(p^{r_1}),$$

and $H^n(P^{2m}(p^{r_2});\mathbb{Z}_p) \xrightarrow{\zeta^*} H^n(P^{2m}(p^{r_1});\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an isomorphism when $n = 2m$, and multiplication by p^t when $n = 2m-1$ (hence trivial when $t > 0$). Thus

$$q^*(x^*) = x_1^* + p^t x_2^*,$$

and

$$q^*(y^*) = y_1^* + y_2^*$$

for some generators x^* and y^* in $H^{2m-1}(V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $H^{2m}(V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$. For part (c), when $t = r_1 - r_2 > 0$, we have

$$q^*(x^*y^*) = (x_1^* + p^t x_2^*)(y_1^* + y_2^*) = (a_{11} + a_{21} + p^t a_{12} + p^t a_{22})z^* = a_{21}z^*.$$

Therefore $x^*y^* = a_{21}e^*$ for some generator $e \in H^{4m-1}(V;\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since we are assuming $a_{21} \neq 0$, the homotopy type of V is in $\mathcal{T}^p_{1,2m}$. For part (b), when $t = r_1 - r_2 = 0$ and $a_{22} = 0$,

$$q^*(x^*y^*) = (a_{21} + a_{12})z^* = 2(a_{21})z^*,$$

and so the homotopy type of V is in $\mathcal{T}^p_{1,2m}$ for this case as well.

Finally we consider the construction of the map $W \xrightarrow{q} V$ for the case $k_1 = 0$. This time $[V] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{k,2m}$ satisfies $\bar{V} = S^{n-1} \vee S^n$. The construction is straightforward. The nonsingular A_{z^*} must have $a_{i1} \neq 0$ for some i. Assume our basis has been ordered so that $i = 1$. Let $\hat{W} = \bar{W}/(S^{n-1} \vee S^n)$, where the spheres S^{n-1} and S^n in the splitting of $\bar{W}$ correspond to the generators x_1 and y_1. Now let $V = W/\hat{W}$, and q be the corresponding quotient map.

We shall let $x^* \in H^{2m-1}(\bar{V};\mathbb{Z}_p) = H^{2m-1}(V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $y^* \in H^{2m}(\bar{V};\mathbb{Z}_p) = H^{2m}(V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be generators with $\beta_r(x^*) = y^*$, $x \in H_{2m-1}(\bar{V};\mathbb{Z}_p) = H_{2m-1}(V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $y \in H_{2m}(\bar{V};\mathbb{Z}_p) = H_{2m}(V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be their homology duals, and $u \in H^{2m-2}(\Omega\bar{V};\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $v \in H^{2m-1}(\Omega\bar{V};\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the transgressions of x and y.

The following lemma can, in part, be viewed as an extension of Lemma 5.4.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $k_1 \geq 1$. There exists a map $S^{4m-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \Omega W$ such that the composite*

$$S^{4m-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \Omega W \xrightarrow{\Omega q} \Omega V$$

induces a map sending a generator $\iota_{2m-1} \in H_*(S^{4m-1};\mathbb{Z}_p)$ to $v^2 \in H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Proof. Let $S^{4m-2} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P^{2m}(p^{r_1})$ be the attaching map for the $(4m-1)$-cell of V, and $S^{4m-2} \xrightarrow{\xi} \bar{W}$ the attaching map for the $(4m-1)$-cell of W. Observe the map $W \xrightarrow{q} V$ is the extension of a map $\bar{W} \xrightarrow{\bar{q}} P^{2m}(p^{r_i})$ fitting in a diagram of cofibration sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{4m-2} \arrow[r, "\xi"] \arrow[d, equal] & \bar{W} \arrow[r, "i_W"] \arrow[d, "\bar{q}"] & W \arrow[d, "q"] \\
S^{4m-2} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & P^{2m}(p^{r_1}) \arrow[r, "i_V"] & V.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 5.3 implies $[\xi]$ has order p^{r_1} in $\pi_{4m-2}(\bar{W})$, since $r_1 = \max\{r_1, \ldots, r_k\}$. Thus ξ extends to a map $P^{4m-1}(p^{r_1}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}} \bar{W}$.

Let $P^{4m-2}(p^{r_1}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}'} \Omega\bar{W}$ denote the adjoint of $\bar{\xi}$. Let $u' \in H_{4m-3}(P^{4m-2}(p^{r_1});\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $v' \in H_{4m-2}(P^{4m-2}(p^{r_1});\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be generators satisfying $\beta_r(v') = u'$. By the above diagram of cofibrations, $\Omega\bar{q} \circ \bar{\xi}'$ restricted to S^{4m-3} is the adjoint of α, so Corollary 5.1 implies

$$(\Omega\bar{q} \circ \bar{\xi}')_*(u') = [u, v]$$

for some choice of our generator u'.

When $m > 2$, $H_{4m-2}(\Omega P^{2m}(p^{r_1}); \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is 1-dimensional vector space with a basis given by v^2. Thus $(\Omega\bar{q} \circ \bar{\xi}')_*(v') = kv^2$ for some k, and

$$k[u,v] = \beta_{r_1}(kv^2) = \beta_{r_1}((\Omega\bar{q}\circ\bar{\xi}')_*(v')) = (\Omega\bar{q}\circ\bar{\xi}')_*(\beta_{r_1}(v')) = (\Omega\bar{q}\circ\bar{\xi}')_*(u') = [u,v],$$

so $k = 1$. Therefore

$$(\Omega\bar{q} \circ \bar{\xi}')_*(v') = v^2.$$

Consider the composite

$$f\colon P^{4m-2}(p^{r_1}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}'} \Omega\bar{W} \xrightarrow{\Omega i_W} \Omega W.$$

Now $\Omega q \circ f$ is homotopic to $\Omega i_V \circ \Omega\bar{q} \circ \bar{\xi}'$, and since $H_*(\Omega V; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong T(u) \otimes T(v)$ such that H-map $\Omega P^{2m}(p^{r_1}) \xrightarrow{\Omega i_V} \Omega V$ induces a map on mod-p homology modelled by the algebra map $T(u,v) \longrightarrow T(u) \otimes T(v)$, we have

$$(\Omega q \circ f)_*(v') = (\Omega i_V)_* \circ (\Omega\bar{q} \circ \bar{\xi}')_*(v') = (\Omega i_V)_*(v^2) = v^2.$$

Notice f factors through the quotient map $P^{4m-2}(p^{r_1}) \longrightarrow S^{4m-2}$, as the restriction of f to the bottom sphere S^{4m-3} is null homotopic, since it is the adjoint of the (null homotopic) composite $S^{4m-2} \xrightarrow{\xi} \bar{W} \xrightarrow{i_W} W$. Thus f extends to a map $S^{4m-2} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \Omega W$ so that $\bar{f}_*(\iota_{4m-2}) = f_*(v')$. Therefore

$$(\Omega q \circ \bar{f})_*(\iota_{4m-2}) = (\Omega q \circ f)_*(v') = v^2.$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 6.2. *The map $\Omega W \xrightarrow{\Omega q} \Omega V$ has a right homotopy inverse.*

Proof. Assume $k_1 \geq 1$ for now. By Theorem 3.5 there is a Hopf algebra isomorphism

$$H_*(\Omega V; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong T(u) \otimes T(v),$$

and the looped inclusion $\Omega P^{2m}(p^{r_1}) \xrightarrow{\Omega i_V} \Omega V$ induces a map on mod-p homology modelled by the algebra map $T(u,v) \longrightarrow T(u) \otimes T(v)$ sending u to u and v to v. Dependening on our construction of the map $W \xrightarrow{q} V$ at the start of this section, we can take an inclusion $P^{2m}(p^{r_1}) \xrightarrow{j} W$ such that the composite $P^{2m}(p^{r_1}) \xrightarrow{j} W \xrightarrow{q} V$ is homotopic to the inclusion i_V. Now consider the composite

$$\phi\colon S^{2m-1}\{p^{r_1}\} \xrightarrow{h} \Omega P^{2m}(p^{r_1}) \xrightarrow{\Omega j} \Omega W.$$

The map h_* is modelled by taking $H_*(S^{2m-1}\{p^{r_1}\}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ isomorphically onto the left $T(u)$-submodule of $T(u,v)$ with basis $\{1, v\}$, so $(\Omega q \circ \phi)_*$ is modelled by an isomorpism onto the left $T(u)$-submodule of $T(u) \otimes T(v)$ with basis $\{1, v\}$.

From Lemma 6.1 one has a map $S^{4m-2} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \Omega W$ satisfying $(\Omega q \circ \bar{f})_*(\iota_{4m-2}) = v^2 \in H_*(\Omega V; \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Since ΩW is an H-space, by the universal property of the James construction for ΩS^{4m-1} $\bar{f}$ extends to an H-map

$$\tilde{f}\colon \Omega S^{4m-1} \longrightarrow \Omega W,$$

and $(\Omega q \circ \tilde{f})_*$ induces an isomorphism onto the subalgebra of $H_*(\Omega V; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ generated by v^2.

One now sees that the product

$$S^{2m-1}\{p^{r_1}\} \times \Omega S^{4m-1} \xrightarrow{\phi \times \tilde{f}} \Omega W \times \Omega W \xrightarrow{\Omega q \times \Omega q} \Omega V \times \Omega V \xrightarrow{mult.} \Omega V$$

induces an isomorphism on mod-p homology, and therefore is a homotopy equivalence. Since Ωq is an H-map, this homotopy equivalence is homotopic to the composite

$$S^{2m-1}\{p^{r_1}\} \times \Omega S^{4m-1} \xrightarrow{\phi\times\tilde{f}} \Omega W \times \Omega W \xrightarrow{mult.} \Omega W \xrightarrow{\Omega q} \Omega V, \tag{16}$$

and so Ωq has a right homotopy inverse.

Now consider $k_1 = 0$. Let $S^{2m-1} \vee S^{2m} \longrightarrow W$ be the inclusion inducing an isomorphism on mod-p homology onto the subgroups generated by x_1 and y_1. Then the composite

$$i' \colon S^{2m-1} \vee S^{2m} \longrightarrow W \xrightarrow{q} V$$

is the inclusion of the $(4m-2)$-skeleton of V. As we saw in the proof of Lemma 5.6, $\Omega i'$ has a right homotopy inverse, and we are done.

□

It will be very covenient to make a change in basis in the proof of the next lemma. According to which of the four cases the matrix A_{z^*} satisfies, as described at the start of this section, we will change our basis $\mathbb{Z}_p\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots x_k\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p\{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots y_k\}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_p\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots a_k\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots b_k\}$ so that for $i \geq 2$ the following are satisfied:

$$q_*(a_1) = x, q_*(b_1) = y; \beta_{r_1}(b_1) = a_1 \text{ if } 1 \leq k_1;$$

$$q_*(a_i) = 0, q_*(b_i) = 0; \beta_{r_i}(b_i) = a_i \text{ if } i \leq k_1;$$

$$a_1^* b_1^* = cz^* \in H^{4m-1}(W; \mathbb{Z}_p);$$

for some integer c prime to p.

Since $q_*(x_i) = 0$ and $q_*(y_i) = 0$ when $i > 2$, we can set $a_i = x_i$ and $b_i = y_i$. When the first case is satisfied, or when $k_1 = 0$, we may leave our previous basis as it was. For parts (a), (b), and (c) of the second case, by inspection we can set: $a_1 = x_2$, $b_1 = y_2$, $a_2 = x_1$, and $b_2 = y_1$; $a_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)$, $b_1 = \frac{1}{2}(y_1 + y_2)$, $a_2 = x_1 - x_2$, and $b_2 = y_1 - y_2$; $a_1 = x_1$, $b_1 = y_1 + y_2$, $a_2 = x_1 - x_2$, and $b_2 = -y_2$, respectively.

Let F be the homotopy fibre of $W \xrightarrow{q} V$, and

$$\Omega V \xrightarrow{\delta} F \longrightarrow W \tag{17}$$

be the induced principal homotopy fibration sequence, meaning there exists a left action

$$\mu \colon \Omega V \times F \longrightarrow F$$

such that the following diagram commutes up to homotopy

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega V \times \Omega V \arrow[r, "\mathbb{1}\times\delta"] \arrow[d, "mult."] & \Omega V \times F \arrow[d, "\mu"] \\
\Omega V \arrow[r, "\delta"] & F.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{18}$$

Lemma 6.3. *There is isomorphism of left $H_*(\Omega V; \mathbb{Z}_p)$-modules*

$$H_*(F; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p\{a_i, b_i | 2 \leq i \leq k\} \otimes H_*(\Omega V; \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

where $|a_i| = 2m - 1$, $|b_i| = 2m$, $\beta_{r_i}(b_i) = a_i$ when $i \leq k_1$, and the left action of $H_*(\Omega V; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is induced by μ.

Proof. Recall the Hopf algebra isomorphism $H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)\cong T(u)\otimes T(v)$ from Theorem 3.5. That is, $H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ generated by u and v, where $u,v\in H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ are the transgressions of $x,y\in H_*(V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ in the mod-p homology Serre spectral sequence for the path fibration of V.

The mod-p homology spectral sequence E for the principal homotopy fibration $\Omega V\xrightarrow{\delta}F\longrightarrow W$ is a spectral sequence of left $H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$-modules, with

$$E^2_{*,*}\cong H_*(W;\mathbb{Z}_p)\otimes H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

and left action induced by μ. Differentials commute with the left action of $H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$, that is $d^n(f\otimes gh)=(1\otimes g)d^n(f\otimes h)$ whenever it makes sense.

Notice the generators $a_i\otimes 1, b_i\otimes 1\in E^2_{*,0}$ are transgressive since $\bar{W}$ is a suspension. Since $q_*(a_i)=q_*(x_i)=0$ and $q_*(b_i)=q_*(y_i)=0$ for $i>2$, and likewise $q_*(a_2)=0$ and $q_*(b_2)=0$, we have

$$d^{2m-1}(a_i\otimes g)=0, d^{2m}(b_i\otimes g)=0$$

for every $g\in H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $i>1$. Since $q_*(a_1)=x$ and $q_*(b_1)=y$, and since $u,v\in H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ are the transgressions of $x,y\in H_*(V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$,

$$d^{2m-1}(a_1\otimes 1)=1\otimes u, d^{2m}(b_1\otimes 1)=1\otimes v.$$

Observe $H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is generated by elements of the form v^l or ug for monomials $g\in H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Since

$$d^{2m-1}(a_1\otimes g)=(1\otimes g)d^{2m-1}(a_1\otimes 1)=(1\otimes g)(1\otimes u)=1\otimes gu,$$

no element $1\otimes gu$ survives to $E^{2m}_{0,*}$. Likewise, elements $1\otimes v^l$ do not survive to $E^{2m+1}_{0,*}$ since

$$d^{2m}(b_1\otimes v^{l-1})=(1\otimes v^{l-1})d^{2m}(b_1\otimes 1)=(1\otimes v^{l-1})(1\otimes v)=1\otimes v^l.$$

So we see that no element in $E^2_{0,*}$ survives to $E^\infty_{0,*}$.

Now consider those elements of the form $b_1\otimes gu$. Since $1\otimes gvu=1\otimes guv$ does not survive to $E^{2m}_{0,*}$, we have

$$d^{2m}(b_1\otimes gu)=0.$$

Finally consider elements of the form $z\otimes g$. Note $d^i(z\otimes g)=0$ for $i<2m-1$ for degree reasons. Let the integers $c_1,\dots,c_k$ modulo p be such that $b_i^*a_1^*=c_iz^*$. As mentioned before, $c_1=c$ is nonzero. Dualizing to the mod-p cohomology spectral sequence associated with our homotopy fibration, we have for each i

$$d_{2m-1}(b_i^*\otimes u^*)=d_{2m-1}(b_i^*\otimes 1)(1\otimes u^*)+(-1)^{|b_i|}(b_i^*\otimes 1)d_{2m-1}(1\otimes u^*)=(b_i^*\otimes 1)(a_1^*\otimes 1)=c_i(z^*\otimes 1).$$

Dualizing in the other direction, we have (denoted by ζ)

$$\zeta=d^{2m-1}(z\otimes 1)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}c_i(b_i\otimes u),$$

so for each $g\in H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p)$

$$d^{2m-1}(z\otimes g)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}c_i(b_i\otimes gu)=(1\otimes g)\zeta.$$

Therefore since $c_1\neq 0$, $b_1\otimes gu$ is identified with a linear combination of elements $b_i\otimes gu$ for $i>1$ in $E^{2m}_{2m,*}$.

We have now computed all the differentials in this spectral sequence. Summarizing all the above information, we see that no element in $E^2_{0,*}$ survives to $E^\infty_{0,*}$, elements of the form $a_i \otimes g$ for $i > 1$ generate the kernel of $E^{2m-1}_{2m-1,*} \xrightarrow{d^{2m-1}} E^{2m-1}_{0,*}$, and elements of the form $b_i \otimes g$ for $i > 1$ and $b_1 \otimes gu$ generate the kernel of $E^{2m}_{2m,*} \xrightarrow{d^{2m}} E^{2m}_{0,*}$. But $b_1 \otimes gu$ is identified with a linear combination of $b_i \otimes gu$ for $i > 1$ in $E^{2m}_{2m,*}$, so $b_i \otimes g$ for $i > 1$ generate this kernel. Since no nonzero linear combination of elements of the form $a_i \otimes g$ and $b_i \otimes g$ for $i > 1$ is in the image of a differential, one observes $E^{\infty}_{*,*}$ is generated by a basis of elements $a_i \otimes g$ and $b_i \otimes g$ for $i > 1$ and monomials $g \in H_*(\Omega V; \mathbb{Z}_p)$. The result follows.

□

Let

$$J = \bigvee_{i=2}^{k_1} P^{2m}(p^{r_i}) \vee \bigvee_{i=k_1+1}^{k} (S^{2m-1} \vee S^{2m}). \tag{19}$$

This is the $(4m-2)$-skeleton $\bar{W}$ of W with $P^{2m}(p^{r_1})$ quotiented out. Our previous work culminates in the following:

Theorem 6.4.

(i) *If* $k_1 \geq 1$ *there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\Omega W \simeq S^{2m-1}\{p^{r_1}\} \times \Omega S^{4m-1} \times \Omega\left(J \vee (J \wedge (S^{2m-1}\{p^{r_1}\} \times \Omega S^{4m-1}))\right),$$

where the right-hand space is taken to be a weak product.

(ii) *Similarly, if* $k_1 = 0$ *there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\Omega W \simeq \Omega S^{2m-1} \times \Omega S^{2m} \times \Omega\left(J \vee (J \wedge (\Omega S^{2m-1} \times \Omega S^{2m}))\right).$$

Proof of part (*i*). By Corollary 6.2 $\Omega W \xrightarrow{\Omega q} \Omega V$ has a right homotopy inverse, so the homotopy fibration sequence $\Omega F \longrightarrow \Omega W \xrightarrow{\Omega q} \Omega V$ is split. Therefore

$$\Omega W \simeq \Omega V \times \Omega F \simeq S^{2m-1}\{p^{r_1}\} \times \Omega S^{4m-1} \times \Omega F,$$

where $\Omega V \simeq S^{2m-1}\{p^{r_1}\} \times \Omega S^{4m-1}$ by Theorem 5.5.

Let $\Omega V \xrightarrow{s} \Omega W$ be a right homotopy inverse of Ωq, and $\Omega V \xrightarrow{\delta} F$ be the connecting map in the homotopy fibration sequence (17). Since $\delta \circ \Omega q$ is null homotopic, we have $\delta \simeq \delta \circ \Omega q \circ s \simeq *$, so δ is null homotopic as well. Now by Lemma 6.3 the $2m$-skeleton of F is the wedge sum J in equation (19).

Define the composite

$$\lambda : \Omega V \times J \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}\times j} \Omega V \times F \xrightarrow{\mu} F,$$

where j is the inclusion of the $2m$-skeleton. Observe the composite

$$\Omega V \times * \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}\times *} \Omega V \times J \xrightarrow{\lambda} F$$

is null homotopic, as it is homotopic to $\delta \simeq *$ by diagram (18). Therefore one obtains an extension $\bar{\lambda}$ of λ in the following homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega V \times * \arrow[r, "\mathbb{1}\times *"] \arrow[dr, dotted, "\delta\simeq *"'] & \Omega V \times J \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\lambda"] & \Omega V \ltimes J \arrow[dl, dotted, "\bar{\lambda}"] \\
 & F &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the *half-smash product* $\Omega V \ltimes J$ is by definiton the cofibre of the inclusion $\Omega V \times * \subset \Omega V \times J$. By Lemma 6.3

$$H_*(F;\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \bar{H}_*(J;\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong H_*(\Omega V \ltimes J;\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Observe that λ restricts to an isomorphism of the submodule

$$\bar{H}_*(J;\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes H_*(\Omega V;\mathbb{Z}_p) \subseteq H_*(\Omega V \times J;\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

onto $H_*(F;\mathbb{Z}_p)$, so $\bar{\lambda}$ induces an isomorphism on mod-p homology. Therefore

$$F \simeq \Omega V \ltimes J.$$

Now applying the well known general splitting of half-smash products

$$B \ltimes (\Sigma A) = (\Sigma A) \rtimes B \simeq (\Sigma A) \vee (\Sigma A \wedge B),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &\simeq \Omega V \ltimes J \\ &\simeq J \vee (J \wedge \Omega V) \\ &\simeq J \vee (J \wedge (S^{2m-1}\{p^r\} \times \Omega S^{4m-1})), \end{aligned}$$

and we are done □

Proof of part (ii). The proof is identical to that of part (i), except with Theorem 5.6 used in place of Theorem 5.5. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 1.1 part (i). For each of the homotopy equivalences in Theorem 6.4 the homotopy type of the right-hand weak product is uniquely determined by the integers k and k_1, and the integers $r_1, \ldots, r_{k_1}$. The ordering is arbitrary, but we selected it so that $r_1 = \max\{r_1, \ldots, r_{k_1}\}$ when $k_1 > 0$. As is clearly seen in Equation (2), these integers correspond uniquely to the homotopy type of $\bar{W}$. Therefore the homotopy types of the right-hand weak products in Theorem 6.4 are uniquely determined by the homotopy type of $\bar{W}$.

It is clear that any two $[W], [W'] \in \mathcal{T}^p_{k,2m}$ satisfy conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.1 if and only if $\bar{W} \simeq \bar{W}'$. The result follows by application of Theorem 6.4. □

7. The Integral Case

Spaces are not assumed to be localized throughout this section. When we say that the localization of map or space at a prime p *is* another map or space, we will mean that they are the same at least up to homotopy equivalence.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots\}$ be the set of all prime numbers, and M be a manifold as in part (ii) of Theorem 1.1. The uniqueness up to homotopy type of Moore spaces implies the $(4m-2)$-skeleton $\bar{M}$ of M splits as a finite wedge of Moore spaces

$$\bar{M} \simeq \bigvee_i \left(\bigvee_j P^{2m}(q_i^{r_{i,j}}) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{Q} = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots\} \subset \{p_1, p_2, \ldots\}$ is some subset of odd prime numbers. We may as well assume the homotopy type of M (which might now longer be a manifold) has been selected so the $\bar{M}$ is homeomorphic to the above wedge of Moore spaces.

When localized at some p, mod-q Moore spaces are contractible whenever q prime to p. Then $\bar{M}_{(q_i)}$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of the mod-q_i Moore spaces in the above splitting. On the other hand, $\bar{M}_{(p)}$ is contractible when localized at any $p \in \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Q}$, which implies $M_{(p)} \simeq S^{4m-1}_{(p)}$.

We will need to lift some of the p-local maps constructed in the previous section to ones that are integral. This is perhaps best done by following through the same constructions, all the while keeping in mind we are no longer localized.

First, using the recipe for the construction of the map $W \xrightarrow{q} V$ in (15), we can collapse or fold the Moore spaces in the $(4m-2)$-skeleton $\bar{M}$ to obtain a map

$$\rho\colon M \longrightarrow N$$

whose localization at each prime $p \in \mathcal{Q}$ is the map q, and whose localization at each $p \in \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Q}$ is a homotopy equivalence $M \simeq S^{4m-1}_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} S^{4m-1}_{(p)} \simeq N$. By this recipe, the $(4m-2)$-skeleton of N is a finite wedge

$$\bar{N} \simeq \bigvee_i P^{2m}(q_i^{s_i}),$$

where $s_i = \max_j \{r_{i,j}\}$.

Let $Q = \prod\limits_i S^{2m-1}\{q_i^{s_i}\}$ and $Q' = \prod\limits_i \Omega P^{2m}(q_i^{s_i})$. The p-local map h in Section 5 can be lifted to an integral map h' that is a choice of lift in the digram of homotopy fibrations sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{2m-1}\{p^r\} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "h'"] & S^{2m-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & S^{2m-1} \arrow[d, "\subset"] \\
\Omega P^{2m}(p^r) \arrow[r] & * \arrow[r] & P^{2m}(p^r),
\end{tikzcd}$$

for this is how h was constructed in [4]. Taking products of these maps defines the obvious map $\Omega Q \longrightarrow \Omega Q'$. Using the Hilton-Milnor homotopy decomposition of $\Omega\bar{N}$ gives a map $Q' \longrightarrow \Omega\bar{N}$ that is a left homotopy inverse of the canonical looped inclusion $\Omega\bar{N} \longrightarrow Q'$. Now we define the composite

$$\eta\colon Q \longrightarrow Q' \longrightarrow \Omega\bar{N} \longrightarrow \Omega M,$$

where the last map is the looped inclusion.

Next we construct a map

$$\tilde{g}\colon \Omega S^{4m-1} \longrightarrow \Omega M,$$

which is the integral analogue of the map $\tilde{f}$ in the proof of Corollary 6.2. The construction begins along the same lines as that of the map $\bar{f}$ in Lemma 6.1, which we shall give a quick detail of.

First consider the attaching map $S^{4m-2} \xrightarrow{\xi} \bar{M}$ for the $(4m-1)$-cell of M. By localizing $\bar{M}$ at each prime p and applying Proposition 5.3, $[\xi]$ must be of order $s = \prod_i {q_i}^{s_i}$, so ξ factors through a map $P^{4m-1}(s) \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}} \bar{M}$. We then let $P^{4m-2}(s) \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}'} \Omega\bar{M}$ be the adjoint of $\bar{\xi}$. The restriction of the map

$$g\colon P^{4m-2}(s) \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}'} \Omega\bar{M} \longrightarrow \Omega M$$

to the bottom sphere S^{4m-3} is null homotopic since it is the adjoint of $S^{4m-2} \xrightarrow{\xi} \bar{M} \longrightarrow M$, which itself is null homotopic. Then g factors through a map

$$\bar{g}\colon S^{4m-2} \longrightarrow \Omega M,$$

By the universal property of the James construction for ΩS^{4m-1}, $\bar{g}$ extends to the map $\tilde{g}$ detailed above.

Using of the following well known proposition (see [13] for example), we consolidate our previous work localized at odd primes p to prove the integral classification in part (ii) of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 7.1. *A map $X \longrightarrow Y$ of finite type 1-connected CW-complexes is a homotopy equivalence if and only if it induces a homotopy equivalence localized at each prime p.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1 part (ii). Combine our maps to obtain the composite

$$\psi\colon Q \times \Omega S^{4m-1} \xrightarrow{\eta\times\tilde{g}} \Omega M \times \Omega M \xrightarrow{mult.} \Omega M \xrightarrow{\Omega\rho} \Omega N.$$

Notice a $S^{2m}\{q^r\}$ factor in Q has trivial mod-p homology when q is prime to p, and thus is contractible when localized at p. The maps η and $\tilde{g}$ localize at $p \in \mathcal{Q}$ to the corresponding maps ϕ and $\tilde{f}$ in composite the composite (16), so $\psi_{(p)}$ is the composite (16). On the other hand, $\psi_{(p)}$ reduces to a homotopy equivalence $\Omega S^{4m-1}_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega N_{(p)}$ when localized at $p \in \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Q}$. Now applying Lemma 7.1, ψ is itself a homotopy equivalence.

Let G be the homotopy fibre of $M \xrightarrow{\rho} N$. The homotopy fibration sequence

$$\Omega G \longrightarrow \Omega M \xrightarrow{\Omega\rho} \Omega N$$

therefore has a homotopy cross-section given by the homotopy equivalence ψ, and as such there are homotopy equivalences

$$\Omega G \times Q \times \Omega S^{4m-1} \simeq \Omega G \times \Omega N \simeq \Omega M.$$

The homotopy type of Q clearly depends only on the homotopy type of the $(4m-2)$-skeleton $\bar{M}$. To complete the proof, we need to show that the homotopy type of ΩG also depends only on that of $\bar{M}$.

Let I be the quotient $\bar{M}/\bar{N}$. Localized at $p \in \mathcal{Q}$, $I_{(p)}$ is homotopy equivalent to the wedge J in Theorem 6.4. The localization $G_{(p)}$ is contractible when $p \in \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Q}$, since ρ is a homotopy equivalence in this case. When $p \in \mathcal{Q}$, $G_{(p)}$ is the homotopy fibre F in Theorem 6.4, and we have shown that the $2m$-skeleton of F is $J \simeq I_{(p)}$. Thus I is the $2m$-skeleton of G. We can now carry forward with a construction similar to the one in the proof of Theorem 6.4, and produce a map $\Omega N \ltimes I \xrightarrow{\bar{\gamma}} G$ whose localization $\bar{\gamma}_{(p)}$ at $p \in \mathcal{Q}$ is the homotopy equivalence $\Omega V \ltimes J \xrightarrow{\bar{\lambda}} F$ in the proof thereof. Localized at primes $p \in \mathcal{Q}$, $\bar{\gamma}_{(p)}$ is also a homotopy equivalence, since here both $G_{(p)}$ and $(\Omega N \ltimes I)_{(p)} \simeq I_{(p)} \vee I_{(p)} \wedge \Omega N_{(p)}$ are contractible. Lemma 7.1 now implies

$$G \simeq \Omega V \ltimes I.$$

The homotopy type of $\bar{N}$ is depends only on the homotopy type of $\bar{M}$, and so the same applies for I, and consequently for G and ΩG as well.

□

References

1. D.J. Anick, *Homotopy exponents for spaces of category two*, Algebraic topology (Arcata, CA, 1986), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1370, Springer, Berlin, 1989, pp. 24–52. MR 1000365 (90c:55010)
2. M.G. Barratt, *Spaces of finite characteristic*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **11** (1960), 124–136. MR 0120647 (22 #11396)

3. P. Bubenik, *Free and semi-inert cell attachments*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), no. 11, 4533–4553. MR 2156720 (2006c:55007)
4. F.R. Cohen, J.C. Moore, and J.A. Neisendorfer, *The double suspension and exponents of the homotopy groups of spheres*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), no. 3, 549–565. MR 554384 (81c:55021)
5. Y. Félix and J.C. Thomas, *Effet d'un attachement cellulaire dans l'homologie de l'espace des lacets*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **39** (1989), no. 1, 207–224. MR 1011984 (90j:55012)
6. J. Grbić and J. Wu, *Applications of combinatorial groups to Hopf invariant and the exponent problem*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **6** (2006), 2229–2255 (electronic). MR 2263065 (2007k:55010)
7. S. Halperin and J.-M. Lemaire, *Suites inertes dans les algèbres de Lie graduées ("Autopsie d'un meurtre. II")*, Math. Scand. **61** (1987), no. 1, 39–67. MR 929396 (89e:55022)
8. ———, *The fibre of a cell attachment*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **38** (1995), no. 2, 295–311. MR 1335875 (97c:55013)
9. K. Hess and J.-M. Lemaire, *Nice and lazy cell attachments*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **112** (1996), no. 1, 29–39. MR 1402394 (97e:55006)
10. J.R. Klein, *Poincaré duality spaces*, Surveys on surgery theory, Vol. 1, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 145, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, pp. 135–165. MR 1747534 (2001b:57053)
11. J.-M. Lemaire, *"Autopsie d'un meurtre" dans l'homologie d'une algèbre de chaînes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **11** (1978), no. 1, 93–100. MR 0500930 (58 #18423)
12. J. Milnor, *On simply connected* 4*-manifolds*, Symposium internacional de topología algebraica International symposi um on algebraic topology, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México and UNESCO, Mexico City, 1958, pp. 122–128. MR 0103472 (21 #2240)
13. M. Mimura, G. Nishida, and H. Toda, *Localization of* CW*-complexes and its applications*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **23** (1971), 593–624. MR 0295347 (45 #4413)
14. S. Sasao and H. Takahashi, *Highly connected Poincaré complexes*, Kodai Math. J. **2** (1979), no. 2, 139–147. MR 548390 (80k:57035)
15. R. Stöcker, *On the structure of* 5*-dimensional Poincaré duality spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. **57** (1982), no. 3, 481–510. MR 689075 (85b:57022)
16. D.P. Sullivan, *Genetics of homotopy theory and the Adams conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **100** (1974), 1–79. MR 0442930 (56 #1305)
17. C.T.C. Wall, *Classification of* $(n-1)$*-connected* $2n$*-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962), 163–189. MR 0145540 (26 #3071)

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Si Yuan Building, Beijing 100190, P. R. China

E-mail address: `bebenp@unbc.ca`

Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Block S17 (SOC1), 10, Lower Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 119076

E-mail address: `matwuj@nus.edu.sg`